## NORTH DAKOTA

## CRITICAL ACCESS HOSPITAL (CAH) NETWORKING GRANT

2025

## ATTACHMENT A: EVALUATION TOOL



## **EVALUATION TOOL**

Applic							
Evaluators:							
Date:							
Points awarded based on how well the application addresses the following.							
	awarao		Maximum				
		CDITEDIA		Points			
4 A DE	N IOANIT	CRITERIA	Points	Awarded			
1. APPLICANT INFORMATION 5							
a. How well has the applicant followed the proposal preparation instructions? Does the proposal contain all the requested information?							
Evalua	ator Com	ments:					
2. EXF	PERIENC	E AND QUALIFICATIONS	30				
a.		ow well has the applicant provided historical information to demonstrate the ganization is established in this field?					
b.		I does the applicant describe their experience relate	d to this proj	ect?			
C.		do the capabilities and responsibilities of personne	and leaders	ship			
		I to the project meet the needs of the project?					
d.	Has the applicant provided information about the organization of the project team and the work the proposed project team members will perform? Does the proposed						
		eam and their work breakdown seem appropriate to a ents of this NOFO?	accomplish th	ie			
e.		ontractor will perform work on this project, how well i	s the subcon	tractor's			
		ce related to this type of work?					
Evalua	ator Com						
		OF WORK	55				
a.		l does the applicant address the purpose of this fund a need or gap?	ing opportuni	ty and			
b.		has the applicant described their strategy for accom	nolishing the	scope of			
		uirements? What are the strengths of the proposed s					

		Possible	Points			
OVERALL COMMENTS						
Evalu	ator Comments:					
A. How well has the applicant described the budget?						
4. BU	DGET	10				
Evaluator Comments:						
h.	How well will the project be sustained after funding ends?					
g.	Has the applicant described their project management to accomplish the work on time, within budget, and meet quantity and quality standards?					
f.	How well did the applicant describe the impact of the project and ability to collect and report on process and outcome measures?					
е.	and monitoring?					
	clarification or further information?					
	timelines to implement efforts?  Are there aspects of the proposed Scope of Work strategy of concern or that requires					
C.	How well did the applicant describe a comprehensive strateg	y and work p	lan with			