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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN8: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a seat belt (when riding in a car driven by someone else)

| 31.9 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 13.4 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 5.9 | Decreased, 1999-2019 | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one
or more times during the 30 days before the survey)

| 48.7 | 48.0 | 43.5 | 42.8 | 37.4 | 31.5 | 28.3 | 25.1 | 21.9 | 17.7 | 16.5 | 14.2 | Decreased, $1995-2019$ | Decreased, 1995-2003 No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol (one or more
times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30
days before the survey)

| 10.7 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 5.5 | Decreased, 2013-2019 | Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^0]${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2017-2019 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN20: Percentage of students who experienced sexual violence (being forced by anyone to do sexual things
[counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not
want to, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)
$8.7 \quad 9.2$
No linear change
Not available ${ }^{\S}$
No change

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 21.1 | 24.9 | 25.4 | 24.0 | 24.3 | 19.9 | No linear change | Increased, 2009-2013 <br> Decreased, 2013-2019 | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram,
Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 17.4 | 17.1 | 15.9 | 18.8 | 14.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^2]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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[^4]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^8]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^9]
## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


[^10]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## North Dakota High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p<0.05.
${ }^{\text {§ O O }}$ Oerweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in
subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 28.1 | 25.1 | 24.2 | 26.8 | 23.5 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 27.6 | 26.1 | 22.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

| 31.1 | 32.8 | 33.4 | 35.3 | 41.5 | 39.0 | 37.7 | 37.9 | 38.5 | 44.5 | Increased, 2001-2019 | No quadratic change | Increased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

| 18.1 | 17.1 | 19.4 | 23.6 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 26.3 | 27.9 | 28.8 | 31.5 | Increased, 2001-2019 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN73: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

| 43.4 | 41.7 | 44.7 | 44.5 | 50.0 | 47.8 | 46.9 | 51.7 | 47.1 | 49.9 | Increased, 2001-2019 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages $\quad$ Linear Change* ${ }^{*}$ Quadratic Change* Change from

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

|  | 28.7 | 31.5 | 24.1 | 24.9 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 27.0 | 24.3 | 25.4 | 22.3 | Decreased, 2001-2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | No change, 2009-2019

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad, potatoes
[excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
11.4 & 14.3 & 10.1 & 10.8 & 8.0 & 10.8 & 12.0 & 11.1 & 11.3 & 10.1
\end{array}
$$

Decreased, 2001-2019
No quadratic change
No change

QN75: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (such as Coke, Pepsi, or
Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 19.6 | 16.5 | 20.4 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 28.8 | 28.1 | Increased, 2007-2019 No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages $\quad$ Linear Change* ${ }^{*}$ Quadratic Change* Change from

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank
in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one
glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 33.8 | 28.9 | 26.1 | 26.9 | 25.4 | 22.4 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 16.1 | 11.1 | Decreased, 1999-2019 | Decreased, 1999-2013 | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN77: Percentage of students who did not eat breakfast (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 10.2 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 14.4 | Increased, 2011-2019 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNBK7DAY: Percentage of students who ate breakfast on all 7 days (during the 7 days before the survey)

## North Dakota High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Physical Activity

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2017-2019 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN79: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)

| 27.7 | 26.3 | 21.3 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 25.6 | 24.8 | 21.0 | 18.9 | 18.8 | 18.8 | Decreased, 1999-2019 | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN80: Percentage of students who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or more hours per day
(counting time spent on things such as Xbox, PlayStation, an iPad or other tablet, a smartphone, texting, YouTube,
Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, for something that was not school work, on an average school day)

| 18.6 | 18.4 | 25.1 | 34.4 | 38.6 | 43.9 | 45.3 | Increased, 2007-2019 | Increased, 2007-2015 <br> Increased, 2015-2019 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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[^12]${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2017-2019 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNWATER1: Percentage of students who drank a bottle or glass of plain water one or more times per day (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey)
74.9 $\quad 75.9 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QNWATER2: Percentage of students who drank a bottle or glass of plain water two or more times per day (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey)

QNWATER3: Percentage of students who drank a bottle or glass of plain water three or more times per day (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey)

[^13]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Total

Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2017-2019 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN90: Percentage of students who rarely or never wear a seat belt when driving (among students who drive a car)

| 14.8 | 17.9 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 6.1 | Decreased, 2007-2019 No change, 2007-2011 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN91: Percentage of students who talked on a cell phone while driving (on at least 1 day during the 30 days
before the survey, among students who drove a car or other vehicle)
$67.9 \quad 61.4 \quad 56.2 \quad 59.6$ Decreased, 2013-2019 Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN93: Percentage of students who reported someone they were dating or going out with purposely tried to control them or emotionally hurt them one or more times (such things as being told who they could and could not spend time with, being humiliated in front of others, or being threatened if they did not do what they wanted, during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)
27.2 25.2 No linear change Not available No change
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total

Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2017-2019 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN98: Percentage of students who think people greatly risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways) if they have five or more drinks of alcohol (beer, wine, or liquor) once or twice each weekend
31.5 $30.0 \quad$ 29.3 No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN102: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sugar-sweetened beverage (such as sports
drinks (for example, Gatorade or PowerAde), energy drinks (for example, Red Bull or Jolt), lemonade, sweetened
tea or coffee drinks, flavored milk, Snapple, or Sunny Delight, not counting soda or pop or $100 \%$ fruit juice, one or more times per day during the 7 days before the survey)
$16.6 \quad 14.3$

No linear change
Not available
No change

QN103: Percentage of students who did not drink a bottle or glass of plain water (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey)

[^16]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total

Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2017-2019 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN107: Percentage of students who brushed their teeth on seven days (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 71.5 | 71.5 | 71.0 | 69.1 | 66.8 | Decreased, 2011-2019 | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN108: Percentage of students who used an indoor tanning device (such as a sunlamp, sunbed, or tanning booth
[not counting getting a spray-on tan], one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)

| 19.6 | 12.2 | 8.3 | 7.0 | Decreased, 2013-2019 Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN109: Percentage of students who had a sunburn (counting even a small part of the skin turning red or hurting
for 12 hours or more after being outside in the sun or after using a sunlamp or other indoor tanning device, one or for 12 hours or more after being outside in the sun or after using a sunlamp or other indoor tanning device, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)

[^18]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## North Dakota High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


[^21]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.


[^0]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^1]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^2]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^3]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    §Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^4]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^5]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^6]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate

[^7]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^8]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^9]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^10]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^11]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^12]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^13]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^14]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^15]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^16]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^17]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^18]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^19]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^20]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^21]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

