
2008



Alcohol and Other Drugs in North Dakota

A Community Readiness Survey to Gauge Perceptions of Alcohol and Other Drug Use



Region VII REPORT

Bismarck/Mandan (and surrounding area)

Alcohol and Other Drugs (AOD) in North Dakota: A Community Readiness Survey to Gauge Perceptions of Alcohol and Other Drug Use

Funded by and prepared for

The North Dakota Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services

By

The Rural Crime & Justice Center (RCJC), a University Center of Excellence Minot State University

Compiled by
Rodney Hair, Executive Director
Dr. Harry Hoffman, Research Director
Rachelle Loda, Training and Research Associate
Trista Zacharias, Training and Research Associate

September 2008

For additional copies, contact North Dakota Department of Human Services,
Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services

1237 West Divide Ave Suite 1C

Bismarck, ND 58501

Toll Free 1.800.755.2719

http://www.nd.gov/dhs



Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse

	Acknowledgements												
Acknowledgements The Rural Crime & Justice Center wishes to acknowledge the work of the Minnesota Institute of Public Health (MIPH). A portion of the questions contained in this survey are used with permission from the Community Readiness Survey © 1999 by the Minnesota Institute of Public Health (www.miph.org).													

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	
List of Tables	v
Executive Summary	1
Introduction	
Methods	8
Survey Findings	14
Section 1: Demographics	15
Section 2: Community Perception of an Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem	22
Section 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use	35
Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention	38
Section 5: Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs	45
Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment	51
References	
Appendices	57

Appendix A: Sample Sizes for Prevention Readiness Survey

Appendix B: Cover Letter

Appendix C: Survey Instrument

List of Tables

Section 1: Demographics	
Table 1.1: Age	15
Table 1.2: Sex	16
Table 1.3: Race	
Table 1.4: Employment Status	18
Table 1.5: Current Occupation	19
Table 1.6: Community Volunteering-Church	20
Table 1.7: Community Volunteering-Civic	
Table 1.8: Number of Children in Your Home	21
Section 2: Community Perception of an Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem	
Perception on use of the following substances in your community	
Table 2.1.1: Adult/Youth Use of Alcohol	22
Table 2.1.2: Adult/Youth Use of Tobacco	24
Table 2.1.3: Adult/Youth Use of Marijuana	25
Table 2.1.4: Adult/Youth Use of Inhalants	26
Table 2.1.5: Adult/Youth Use of Cocaine	27
Table 2.1.6: Adult/Youth Use of Methamphetamine	28
Table 2.1.7: Adult/Youth Use of Over-the-Counter Drugs	
Table 2.1.8: Adult/Youth Use of Prescription Drugs	
Table 2.1.9: Adult/Youth Use of Hallucinogens	
Problem perceived regarding the following in your community	
Table 2.2.1: Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes or injuries	22
Table 2.2.2: Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes	
Table 2.2.2. Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crimes	54
Section 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use	
Table 3.1 Agreement or Disagreement with the following statements	25.27
Okay for youth to drink at parties if don't get drunk	33-37
Youth can drink if don't drive afterwards	
Okay for youth to smoke cigarettes	
 Okay for youth to shoke cigarettes Youth experiment with AOD and grow out of it 	
 Okay for parents to provide alcohol in their home to own children 	
 Okay for parents to provide alcohol in their nome to own children Okay to offer alcohol to youth other than their own children 	
Teenage drinking is acceptable in my community	
 Driving under the influence of AOD is okay 	
 Riding in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of AOD is okay 	
Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention	
Table 4.1 Agreement or Disagreement with the following statements	38-40
 Law enforcement spend more time enforcing minimum drinking age 	
 Schools need to be more active in dealing with AOD problems 	
 Possible to reduce AOD problems through prevention 	
 AOD prevention problems are a good investment 	
 Community is responsible for establishing AOD prevention problems 	
 PSAs as a medium for changing attitudes of AOD use 	
Increase taxes on alcohol	
 Increase taxes on tobacco 	
 Drinking and driving laws are enforced in my community 	
 Law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children 	

Table 4.2
Do you support the following?41, 42
 Minimum legal drinking age of 21
 Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth
Compliance checks
 Law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children
DUI checkpoints
Doi checkpoints
Do you support BANS on the following?43
 Liquor advertisements on TV
Beer and wine advertisements on TV
 Billboard alcohol advertisements
Mould you ATTEND the following events if they were alcohol free?
Would you ATTEND the following events if they were alcohol-free?
Party
 Wedding Dance/Reception
Sporting Event
Section 5: Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs
Table 5.1 Questions regarding ACCESS in your community
 Youth purchase of alcohol at stores themselves
 Youth to get older person to purchase of alcohol for them
Youth to order a drink at a bar
 Youth to sneak alcohol from their home or friend's home
 Youth to get parents to give them alcohol
 Youth to get other family members to give them alcohol
Table 5.2 Questions regarding ACCESS in your community
 Marijuana
Inhalants
Cocaine
Methamphetamine
 Hallucinogens
Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment
Table 6.1 Agreement or Disagreement with the following statements 51-53
 Preventing AOD use is important among youth
 Concern for sufficiency of community's AOD prevention programs
 Achieve AOD free youth by educating youth themselves
 Achieve AOD free youth by educating youth themselves Achieve AOD free youth by educating parents
 Achieve AOD free youth by educating parents Achieve AOD free youth by educating the community
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 Community leaders interested in reducing access and abuse of AOD Knowledge of access to AOD services for help with abuse
Table 6.2 Community has an action plan for dealing with substance abuse issues 54
Table 6.3 Primary source for news about crime 55

Executive Summary

The following is a summary of the key findings relative to *Region VII* respondents.

Adult Use of Alcohol [see Table 2.1.1]

• Similar to the statewide results, when participants were asked to rate the seriousness of *adult* use of alcohol, 65.7 percent of the *Community Members* indicated this to be a *minor to moderate* problem in their community, and 21.6 percent felt this was a *serious* problem. According to the *Key Informants*, 59.5 percent felt that *adult* alcohol use was a *minor to moderate* problem while 37.3 percent believed this to be a *serious* problem in their community.

Youth Use of Alcohol [see Table 2.1.1]

• **Community Members** felt that *youth* alcohol use was more of a serious issue as compared to adult use of alcohol in that 41percent of respondents indicated this to be a *serious* problem while 45 percent felt this was a *minor to moderate* problem in their community. With **Key Informants**, the majority (69.3%) felt that *youth* alcohol use was a *serious* problem and 28.8 percent believed this was a *minor to moderate* problem in their community.

Adult Use of Methamphetamine [see Table 2.1.6]

• Of *Community Members*, 38 percent felt *adult* use of methamphetamine was a *minor to moderate* problem, 21.6 percent indicated this to be a *serious* problem; 31.3 percent *don't know* the extent of the problem. Of *Key Informants*, 39.9 percent indicated *adult* use of methamphetamine to be a *minor to moderate* problem, whereas 49 percent perceived it as a *serious* problem.

Youth Use of Methamphetamine [see Table 2.1.6]

• *Community Members* perceived *youth* use of methamphetamine as a *minor to moderate* problem, 19.5 percent perceived this as a *serious* problem, and 30.7 percent specified they *don't know* the extent of the problem. Among *Key Informants*, 44.4 percent perceived methamphetamine use by *youth* as a *minor to moderate* problem, and 37.9 percent indicated this to be a *serious* problem.

Contribution of Drug and Alcohol Use to Crashes or Injuries [see Table 2.2.1]

• Of the *Community Members*, 48.9 percent believed that the contribution of drug/alcohol use to crashes/injuries was a *minor to moderate* problem and 37.4 percent indicated this was *serious* problem. Among *Key Informants*, 58.2 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community and 38.6 percent specified this was a *minor to moderate* problem.

Community Acceptance of Underage Drinking [see Table 3.1]

• When asked about community acceptance of underage drinking, *Community Members* generally *disagree-strongly disagree* (64.1%) that this behavior was acceptable within their community while 34.7 percent *agree-strongly agree*. With *Key Informants* 52.3 percent *disagree-strongly disagree* that underage drinking is accepted in the community, 47.7 percent *agree-strongly agree*.

Support for Increasing Taxes on Alcohol [see Table 4.1]

• When asked to indicate the extent to which they either agree or disagree with increasing alcohol taxes, 56.8 percent of *Community Members* and 59.5 percent of *Key Informants* agree-strongly agree in support of an increase in alcohol taxes.

Laws Prohibiting Giving Alcohol to Your Own Children [see Table 4.2]

• When respondents were asked whether they would be in support of a law prohibiting giving alcohol to "your own" children, 61.1 percent of the *Community Members* and 71.9 percent of *Key Informants* indicated that *yes* they would be in support of this type of law.

Support for Advertising Liquor/Beer/Wine Ads on Television/Billboards [see Table 4.3]

• The results were very similar regarding all three questions related to alcohol advertising. Over sixty percent of *Community Members* and over 68 percent of *Key Informants* were in support of banning alcohol advertisements on either television or billboards.

Youth Accessibility to Alcohol [see Table 5.1]

- When the *Community Member* respondents were asked "How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them," 36.5 percent indicated it was *not* at all difficult, and 51.7 percent believed that it is *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Among the *Key Informants*, 50.3 percent of respondents indicated that it was *not* at all difficult for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them, and 46.4 percent responded that this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult.
- When *Community Members* were asked "How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home," 51.4 percent believed this was *not at all* difficult and 40.1 percent indicated this was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. On the other hand, 70.8 percent of *Key Informants* specified that it was *not at all difficult* for youth to obtain alcohol in this manner, while 25 percent felt that this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Adult/Youth Access to Marijuana/Methamphetamine [see Table 5.2]

- Of *Community Members*, 50.2 percent perceived access to marijuana to be *slightly/somewhat* difficult whereas 54.9 percent of *Key Informants* perceived access to marijuana as *not at all* difficult, and 39.2 percent responded this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult for adults/youth to obtain.
- Of *Community Members*, 52 percent perceived access to **methamphetamine** as *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and 18.2 percent specified it was *quite/extremely* difficult. Of *Key Informants*, 56.9 percent indicated that access to **methamphetamine** was *slightly/somewhat* difficult while 33.3 percent believed that accessing methamphetamine was *not* at all difficult.

Presence of Community Action Plan [see Table 6.2]

• Among *Community Members* the majority (59.9%) *did not know* if their community had any action plan in place. Of *Key Informants*, 37.3 percent indicated that *yes* there was a plan in place within their community, 15.7 percent specified that *no* there was not a plan in place and 46.4 percent indicated they *don't know* of any community action plan in place.

Sources of Information Regarding Crime [see Table 6.3]

• The two most cited media sources accessed for information on crime for *Community Members* was "Television" (37.7%) and "Newspapers" (22.2%). Similarly, the most common sources accessed for information on crime for *Key Informants* was "Television" (41.2%) and "Newspapers" (30.7%).

Introduction

The Rural Crime and Justice Center (RCJC) at Minot State University, in conjunction with North Dakota's Department of Human Services, implemented a Community Readiness Survey to gauge perceptions of alcohol and other drug use in North Dakota communities. This was made possible through funding provided by North Dakota's Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services. The Community Readiness Survey assessed the perceptions of Youth Alcohol and Other Drug Use by surveying community members living in North Dakota. The sample represents eight regions in North Dakota and within each region frontier, rural, and urban communities are represented based on population density. This report will focus on the data collected from *Region VII* and will also include the statewide results. This is not a statistical comparison; region wide data is simply presented alongside statewide data.

The RCJC conducted a similar study in 2005, surveying community members residing in Region II of North Dakota. The Region II Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use study provided a concrete foundation for looking at parallel issues at the statewide level.

The use and abuse of alcohol and other drugs has a considerable impact on communities throughout the nation. Often times the use of such substances is overlooked by members within a particular community. Consequently, community perception and the readiness to address the problem of alcohol and other drug use needs to be periodically assessed in order to fully develop a comprehensive plan.

Project Goals

The specific goal of the Community Readiness Survey was to design an assessment tool targeting communities and the public's readiness to respond to alcohol and other drug use (AOD) among adults and youth. Since North Dakota communities are currently experiencing high rates of binge drinking among adolescents, the RCJC, along with the North Dakota's Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services, recognized the importance of assessing community members' perceptions regarding these issues. The five pertinent areas that were addressed in the survey were: 1) Community perception of an AOD problem; 2) Permissiveness of attitudes towards AOD use; 3) Community support for AOD prevention; 4) Community perception of adolescent access to alcohol and other illegal substances; and 5) Overall perception of community commitment.

By addressing communities at local levels, we can begin to identify the real issues that are plaguing our communities. It is our hope that this project will lead to promising initiatives concentrating on our youth and lead to preventative measures that can decrease the rate of AOD concerns in North Dakota.

Literature Review

The destructive use and abuse of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs has become a major social problem deeply rooted in our society (www.bridges-mccasa.org/ypp.html). The impressionability of youth makes them extremely vulnerable to many problems associated with alcohol and drug abuse. Furthermore, adolescence is an experimental stage in life and often results in the testing of illegal substances. Since North Dakota is leading the nation in adolescent binge drinking (NSDUH, 2004-05), preventative efforts need to be taken at various levels in an attempt to curtail these problems.

National Survey on Drug Use and Health

Data obtained from the *State Estimates of Substance Use from the 2004-2005 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH)* illustrate the extent of substance abuse issues within communities nationwide. The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) combined two years of data to enhance the accuracy of estimates for less populated states. The survey evaluates state rates of use of illegal drugs, binge drinking, serious mental illness, and tobacco use. State-by-state data provides a powerful tool for policymakers at the federal, state, and local levels by identifying needs and targeting prevention and treatment resources.

Alcohol

In regards to rates of past month alcohol use, North Dakota was ranked in the top five of all states for all three age groups (12 to 17, 18 to 25, and 26 or older) (NSDUH, 2004-05).

Results from the National Survey indicate that at the national level, almost a quarter of all persons aged 12 or older participated in binge use of alcohol in the past month. Binge alcohol use is defined as "drinking five or more drinks on the same occasion (i.e., at the same time or within a couple hours of each other) on at least one day in the 30 days prior to the survey" (NSDUH, 2004-05). The highest rates of binge alcohol use occurred among persons aged 18 to 25. North Dakota had the highest rate in this age group at 58.1 percent, almost double the highest rate among persons aged 26 or older and almost four times the highest rate among youth aged 12 to 17 (NSDUH, 2004-05). Based on this finding alone, an assessment of communities in North Dakota is necessary in order to develop policy to concentrate on these issues.

In assessing perceptions of the risk of binge alcohol drinking use to the actual rates of usage, the National Study concluded that although North Dakota had the highest rate of binge drinking among all 50 states, the *perceived* risk of binge drinking was among the *lowest*. Similarly, six other states with higher rates of binge drinking also scored lower in terms of perception of risk.

Illicit Drugs

According to the results of NSDUH (2004-2005), the frequent use of illicit drugs in North Dakota appears to be less severe than alcohol use. The survey results indicate that North Dakota was one of two states to show a significant decrease from 2003-04 to 2004-05, in the percentage of "all persons aged 12 or older who used an illicit drug in the past month" (North Dakota decreased from 7.5% to 6.2%).

North Dakota was also one of six states to represent a decline amid the 2003-04 and 2004-05 studies, in "past year use of marijuana among all persons aged 12 or older," dropping from 10.1 percent to 8.5 percent. In regards to other illicit drugs, aside from marijuana, state-by-state results also show improvements in North Dakota's prevalence of use. According to NSDUH, "illicit drugs other than marijuana include cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens, inhalants, and the nonmedical use of prescription-type pain relievers, tranquilizers, stimulants, and sedatives" (NSDUH, 2004-05). Both North and South Dakota had the lowest rate (2.8%) of past month use of an illicit drug other than marijuana among persons 12 or older, compared to the national average of 3.6 percent and the state with the highest rate of use, Colorado at 4.5 percent. Lastly, with regards to past year cocaine use among all persons aged 12 or older, North Dakota had the lowest rate (1.7%) in that population (NSDUH, 2004-05).

The focus of the next section is to identify the significance of public opinion, the influence of the media on the configuration of public opinion, and details how this information can be interpreted and useful when looking at developing effective prevention strategies for Alcohol and Other Drug use among youth. Results from the 2007 Youth Risk Behavior Survey will be incorporated.

Media Influence on Public Opinion

Mass media has a tendency to shape a person's outlook, their cultural identity, as well as processes of open exchange (www.wz.nrw.de, 2006). The nature of the media has changed drastically and has evolved with technology. Therefore, the source of information for people, whether it is television, newspaper, internet, or other forms of mass media, may play a crucial role in shaping ideas and perceptions. This can lead to the development of inaccurate understanding of the issues at hand, especially if the source of information does not clearly state the distinction between fact and opinion.

North Dakota Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)

Through the implementation of the YRBS, youth are provided the opportunity to share their experiences with AOD in this survey that is conducted every two years. High School students are asked a series of questions in which they are instructed to answer "truthfully." The YRBS is designed to target those behaviors related to the paramount causes of death and disability among youth and young adults and to evaluate how these risky behaviors change over time (North Dakota High School, YRBS data, 2007). The YRBS is a self-reporting survey that is intended to measure health-risk behaviors that fall into six categories:

- Behaviors that result in unintentional injuries and violence
- Tobacco use
- Alcohol and other drug use
- Sexual behaviors that result in HIV infection, other sexually transmitted diseases, and unintended pregnancies
- Dietary behaviors
- Physical activity (ND YRBS data, 2007)

The data obtained from the YRBS represent both urban and rural areas allowing the data to focus on problem areas geographically. Thus, data may potentially be helpful in identifying which regions are in most need of resources and assistance to target problems plaguing their youth. According to North Dakota's YRBS data (2007), 6,745 middle school students (Grades 7-8) from 110 North Dakota middle schools participated in the survey and 9,750 high school students (Grades 9-12) from 104 North Dakota high schools participated in the survey.

North Dakota YRBS (2007) Middle School Results

Results pertaining to the use of alcohol and/or other drugs will be discussed. The following section will include *Statewide* results from the YRBS, as well as data as it pertains to *Region VII* (Bismarck Area). *Alcohol Use*

In regards to the "percentage of students who have ever had a drink of alcohol, other than a few sips," the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) *Statewide* results indicate that 32.7 percent of students have had at least one drink of alcohol in their lifetime; *Region VII* results indicate that 37.2 percent of students indicated ever having had a drink of alcohol (North Dakota YRBS, 2007).

The percentage of students who indicated having had five or more drinks of alcohol in a row, that is, within a couple of hours (binge alcohol use) was 15 percent for the *Statewide* results, percentage of use in *Region VII* was 15.4 percent.

Marijuana & Other Drug Use

The percentage of students who specified ever having used marijuana was 7.8 percent *Statewide*. *Region VII* results showed that 11 percent of students indicated having used marijuana at least once in their lifetime. The *Statewide* percent of students who had sniffed glue, breathed the contents of spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high for the first time before age 11 years was 9.5 percent; results for *Region VII* indicated 10.3 percent. Students who responded as ever having used methamphetamines – also called speed, crystal, crank or ice, was 1.7 percent *Statewide*; *Region VII* results indicated that 2.1 percent of students have used methamphetamine at least once in their lifetime.

North Dakota YRBS (2007) High School Results

Alcohol Use

According to the *Statewide* results, the percentage of students who "had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during their life" was 73.9 percent. *Region VII* (Bismarck/Mandan Area) results specified that 74.8 percent of students had reported engaging in alcohol use at least once in their lifetime.

Statewide, 32.5 percent of students specified having had "five or more drinks of alcohol in row, that is, within a couple of hours, on one or more of the past 30 days" (binge alcohol use). **Region VII** results illustrated that a slightly higher percentage (33.4%) of students had engaged in this type of behavior within the past 30 days.

When students were asked to report whether they "drank a usual type of alcohol during the past 30 days", 43.9 percent of students *Statewide* reported that they preferred a specific type of alcohol to consume; percentages for *Region VII* indicated that 44.3 percent of students preferred to consume a specific type of alcohol.

Marijuana & Other Drug Use

According to the *Statewide* results, 30.1 percent of students indicated having used "marijuana one or more times during their life"; *Region VII* results indicated that 33.6 percent of students had engaged in this type of behavior.

With a focus on other drugs, students were asked a series of questions regarding prevalence of use. *Statewide*, 6.2 percent of students reported having used "any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase one or more times during their life" (North Dakota YRBS, 2007). *Region VII* indicated higher percentages in that 8.3 percent of students reported having used a form of cocaine on one or more occasions in their lifetime.

When students were asked if they have "sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high one or more times during their life," *Statewide* results illustrated that 11.1 percent of students had engaged in this behavior; percentages for *Region VII* were at 11.4 percent.

When asked whether they had used "methamphetamines one or more times during their life," the *Statewide* results indicated that 4.1 percent of students had used methamphetamines at least once, and *Region VII* rate of use was slightly higher at 5.2 percent.

Statewide outcomes indicated that the percentage of students who were "offered, sold, or given an illegal drug by someone on school property during the past 12 months" was 18.7 percent. *Region VII* percentages were at 17.9 percent.

The next section will discuss in detail the research methods that were used to efficiently complete the research process. The topics that will be discussed include survey construction/measurement, sample populations, overall sample sizes, participant selection for the Key Informant groups and the Community Members, the procedure for survey distribution, and response rates for both participants and non-participants.

Methods

The RCJC, in collaboration with the North Dakota Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse completed this research project in an attempt to assess community perceptions regarding issues of alcohol and substance abuse. The data obtained reflect small towns and communities and resident's willingness to recognize AOD use within their communities. Recipients of the survey were provided the opportunity to communicate their beliefs and opinions regarding AOD abuse. It is anticipated that the data collected from this study will create opportunities for frontier, rural, and urban communities within North Dakota to respond effectively to these issues.

Survey Development

This project involved one data collection instrument designed to assess the community's perception of AOD use within their community. A portion of the questions contained in this survey are used with permission from the *Community Readiness Survey* © 1999 by the Minnesota Institute of Public Health (www.miph.org). Five specific areas were addressed in the survey: Community Perception of an Alcohol and Other Drug (AOD) Problem; Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD use; Community Support for AOD Prevention; Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs; and Perception of Community Commitment. The input received from selected community members will facilitate more effective responses in dealing with substance abuse.

The survey included ten demographic questions, sixty-one ranking questions, followed by three open-ended questions.

Survey Construction/Measurement

Ideally, this survey was created to evaluate the opinions of community members related to AOD use within frontier, rural, and urban communities throughout North Dakota. Of the five specific classifications included, each was intended to evaluate different areas of AOD issues. This survey was compiled based on a ranking system and all questions, with the exception of the demographic questions, were ranked using two, four, or five point Likert scales.

Section 1 – Demographics

This section of the survey focused on demographic questions, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, employment status and current occupation, level of church and community volunteering, location (i.e., city and county), and number of children present in the home.

Section 2 - Community Perception of an AOD Problem

Items in this category focused on perception of alcohol and other drug use issues within each community. Participants were questioned as to how much of a problem they perceived for both adults and youth regarding the following: use of alcohol; use of tobacco; and use of marijuana to be in their community. Other items consisted of use of inhalants, cocaine, methamphetamine, over-the-counter drugs,

prescription drugs, and hallucinogens. Final items in this section included contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes or injuries and contribution of drug and alcohol use to crime.

Section 3 - Permissiveness of Attitudes toward AOD use

This section focused on how tolerant community members may or may not be in relation to AOD issues. Items required participants to indicate the extent to which they *agree* or *disagree* with the following statements: youth consuming alcohol at parties; youth drinking and driving; youth smoking cigarettes; youth alcohol and other drug experimentation; parents offering alcoholic beverages to youth in their own homes; community acceptance of underage drinking; driving while under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs; and riding in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs.

Section 4 - Community Support for AOD Prevention

Willingness to support AOD prevention initiatives was the focus of this section of the survey. Participants were asked to rank the items ranging from *strongly disagree* to *strongly agree*. Items included the following: a focus on law enforcement competency; support for educational and prevention programs; community commitment; advertising devices; tax issues related to alcohol and tobacco; the enforcement of drinking and driving laws; and laws prohibiting parents providing alcohol to their own children.

Additional items in this section assessed responses regarding support for the following statements: drinking age of 21 years; penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth; compliance checks; laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children; and DUI checkpoints. Respondents were also questioned as to whether or not they support *bans* on the following: liquors advertisements on TV; beer and wine advertisements on TV; and billboard alcohol advertisements. The final series of questions asked respondents if they would be willing to attend the following events if they were alcohol-free: a party; wedding dance/reception; and sporting events.

Section5 - Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs

This series of questions focused on the availability of alcohol and other drugs within the sampled communities. Respondents were asked to indicate the level of difficulty in which youth can obtain alcohol under the following circumstances: liquor store accessibility; bar accessibility; at home accessibility; and alcohol provided by parents and/or other family members. Regarding the accessibility of illegal substances within the community, respondents were asked to rank how difficult it is to access marijuana, inhalants, cocaine, methamphetamine, and hallucinogens.

Section 6 - Perception of Community Commitment

Lastly, participants were asked to rank the community's overall commitment to addressing the perceived problems of alcohol and substance use. The questions focused on the importance of preventing alcohol and drug use among youth, concern for the availability of sufficient prevention programs, the most effective method for achieving drug free youth, through educating youth, parents, or community members, the presence of leaders within the community willing to address these issues, where to seek assistance

within the community regarding these matters, the presence of a community action plan, and primary sources for information regarding crime.

Sample Population

Participants were selected using a random sample from telephone directories representing community members throughout North Dakota, as well as databases of professionals obtained from respective agencies chosen for the study. Random numbers were selected from a random numbers table, as guided by statistical sampling procedures. The random numbers identified were 34 and 81. For the shorter directories, every 34th name was selected and for the larger directories, every 81st name. The numbers chosen were adequate in ensuring that each directory was, at minimum, sampled through one time.

Overall Sample Sizes

The overall sample consisted of 16,125 individuals selected statewide. The sample of 16,125 was divided into two groups: *Community Members* and *Key Informants*. The same survey instrument was used for both groups.

Community Members Participant Selection (N=14400)

For the Community Members sample of 14,400, participants were selected through a systematic random sampling of households. The households to be sampled were selected from North Dakota telephone directories. The two main sources utilized to obtain this information included: 1) North Dakota Telephone Association (i.e., maintain listings on Local Exchange Companies) and 2) DEX electronic directories. Local exchange companies provided the following directories for sampling: Polar Communications; Souris River Telephone (SRT) Communications; Consolidated Badlands; Southeast North Dakota; Durum Triangle; and Northwest North Dakota. DEX Directories provided residential information on the following cities and surrounding areas: Bismarck/Mandan; Dickinson; Fargo/Moorhead; Grafton; Grand Forks/East Grand Forks; North Dakota/South Central; Jamestown/Valley City; Wahpeton/Breckenridge; and Williston. The listings included the following information: non-residential listings; duplicate phone numbers (i.e., households with more than one landline were counted as one household); and listings from towns/cities (i.e., East Grand Forks, Moorhead, Breckenridge) bestriding neighboring states (i.e., Minnesota, South Dakota).

Since the community member participants were selected from the telephone directories, the selection included the risk of eliminating potential candidates for the study due to unlisted telephone numbers and relocation. However, this was the most exhaustive and accurate listing that could be obtained for the purposes of this study.

Sample Size for Community Members

Sample sizes were predetermined by the Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (See Appendix A). Within each region, 1,800 community members (i.e., 600

each from **urban**, **rural**, **frontier**) were sampled tallying 14,400 across all eight regions in North Dakota. Definitions of population density, although differing by source, were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau system. *Urban Areas* (UA) are defined as those counties with a central city and the surrounding area consisting of a population of 50,000 or more. An *Urban Cluster* (UC) consists of densely settled territory that has at least 2,500 people but fewer than 50,000. Subsequently, by this definition *Rural Areas* consist of all territory located outside of urbanized areas and urban clusters. A *Frontier County* describes a county with a population density of six or fewer persons per square mile (U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000). For North Dakota, persons per square mile according to the U.S. Census, 2000 averaged 9.3.

Key Informant Group Participant Selection (N=1725)

The Key Informant sample was selected from the following professions: School Counselors; Family Medicine/Physicians; Social Services; Treatment Providers; Prevention Coordinators; and Law Enforcement agencies to include Police and Sheriff. The names and addresses of individuals were obtained by purchasing Excel databases of the respective agencies/entities. A listing of current employees within each professional group was obtained as detailed below:

- 1. *School Counselors in North Dakota*-purchased through North Dakota Department of Public Instruction (NDDPI);
- 2. *Family Medicine Physicians*-free of charge through the North Dakota Academy of Family Physicians;
- 3. Social Services-purchased from North Dakota Board of Social Work Examiners (NDBSWE);
- 4. *Treatment Providers* purchased from North Dakota Board of Addiction Counseling Examiners (NDBACE)
- 5. Law Enforcement Agencies-Police and Sheriff Departments were identified through a public listing of agencies from the North Dakota Peace Officer Standards and Training Boards (NDPOST)
- 6. *Prevention Coordinators*-provided by the state Prevention Administrator

Each North Dakota law enforcement agency (113) was included in the sample (i.e., one survey mailed to each agency/department), as well as one survey mailed to all 12 Prevention Coordinators statewide (totaling 125 surveys distributed among these two groups). For the remaining Key Informant Groups, *Proportional Allocation* was used to determine what percentage of each database must be selected to attain a sample of 1,600. The table below demonstrates the proposed sample sizes as guided by this formula:

KEY INFORMANT GROUP	Group Database N	Sample N
School Counselor	347	209
Family Medicine Physicians	385	232
Social Services	1648	990
Treatment Providers	281	169
Law Enforcement agencies	113	113
Prevention Coordinators	12	12
Total	<i>2786</i>	1725

The proportions were sampled from each database using a *Random Number Function* in Microsoft Office Excel, 2007. This function randomly selected the sample from each group (i.e., assigned computer program-generated random numbers to each row item/name, shuffled, and sorted the spreadsheet allowing the desired sample to be drawn).

Region VII Sample Population

Of the 14,400 Community Members sampled statewide, 1,800 individuals were selected to represent Region VII by Urban, Rural, and Frontier population densities. The DEX online telephone directory were the directories used for sampling therefore represented the population within this region.

Survey Distribution

Prior to distributing the survey, a press conference was held focusing on alcohol and substance abuse in North Dakota communities in which the public was informed that a statewide AOD study would be administered in the spring. Of the first sample, 1,725 participants were selected from the key informant databases representing the professional groups previously mentioned. For the 14,400 general community members, these individuals were selected from the telephone directories to include all eight regions of North Dakota.

Surveys were mail administered and included a detailed letter discussing the nature and anonymity of the study (to include confidentiality, participation opt in/opt out, etc.), a prepaid return envelope, and an entry form for the incentive gas card drawing. This provided recipients the opportunity to become eligible for a chance to win a prepaid gas card reliant upon completion and return of the survey.

In order to minimize the number of *undeliverable* surveys, the envelope was addressed to the name selected in the phone directory and included *or current resident*. In May 2008, the surveys were mailed to the selected 16,125 and respondents were given three weeks to complete and return the survey. Data entry was completed on June 30, 2008.

Response Rates - Statewide Participants

Of the 16,125 individuals surveyed, the overall response rate was 18.9 percent (3,046 of the 16,125). The response rate for the Community Members (14,400 sampled) was 16.81 percent, and for the Key Informants (1,625 sampled), the response rate was 36.29 percent.

The following is a breakdown of those Key Informant Groups with *adequate* rates of response: School Counselors (53.11%); Social Services (35.35%); Treatment Providers (41.42%); Police Departments (43.44%); Sheriffs (33.96%); and Prevention Coordinators (100%). The only Key Informant Group generating a low response rate was Family Medicine Physicians (16.81%). In an attempt to ensure accurate response rates, respondents were given the opportunity to qualify for a prepaid gas card drawing if the survey was completed and returned. Among the surveys completed, the incentive participation rate was 15.2 percent.

Response Rates - Statewide Non-Participants

The number of items returned totaled 79 (.48% of the surveys mailed out). Of the Key Informants, four social workers and one family medicine physician surveys were returned stating "no longer work here." Three surveys received from one law enforcement agency were excluded, as they were photocopies of the original survey. Among the Community Members, 52 surveys were returned as "undeliverable." Remaining surveys were returned uncompleted for the following reasons as noted:

- Deceased (n=2);
- Do not participate in surveys/remove name from mailing list (n=4);
- Did not feel qualified/not in touch with current issues/too old (n=3):
- Completed surveys were returned past the deadline (n=13).

Response Rates - Region VII Participants

Four hundred and eighty-two individuals from *Region VII* participated in the survey. Of the 1,800 Community Members sampled within this region, 329 (68.3%) individuals completed and returned the survey, resulting in a response rate of 18.3 percent within this region. Among Key Informants, the total number of respondents in this group was 153, which accounts 31.7 percent of the total (N=482) within this region. The following is a breakdown of representation by profession within *Region VII*: *School Counselors* (N=19, 3.9%); *Family Medicine-Physicians* (N=4, .8%); *Social Services* (N=102, 21.2%); *Treatment Providers* (N=20, 4.1%); *LE-Police* (N=2, .4%); *LE-Sheriff* (N=4, .8%); and *Prevention Coordinators* (N=2, .4%).

Sample Composition by Respondent Geographic Location (Region VII, N=482)

FRONTIER (N=136, 28.2%)

- Counties: Emmons, Grant, Kidder, McLean, Oliver, Sheridan, Sioux
- Towns/Cities: Braddock, Butte, Cannonball, Carson*, Center, Coleharbor, Dawson, Elgin*, Fort Yates*, Garrison, Goodrich, Hazelton, Hensler, Leith, Linton*, Martin, Max*, McClusky*, Mercer, New Leipzig, Pettibone, Raleigh, Riverdale, Ruso, Selfridge*, Steele*, Strasburg, Tappen, Temvik, Turtle Lake*, Underwood*, Washburn*, Wilton*

RURAL (N=114, 23.7%)


- **Counties:** Burleigh, Mercer, Morton
- Towns/Cities: Almont, Baldwin, Beulah*, Driscoll, Flasher*, Glen Ullin, Golden Valley, Hannover, Hazen*, Hebron, Lincoln*, Menoken, New Salem*, St. Anthony, Stanton, Sterling, Wing, Zap

URBAN (N=232, 48.1%)

- **Counties:** Burleigh, Morton
- Towns/Cities: Bismarck*, Mandan*

*denotes Key Informant town/city of residence

The next section will highlight the results of the study and will include frequencies (basic percentages) according to *Community Members* and *Key Informants*, separated by Urban, Rural, and Frontier. This will include *Region VII* results, as well as the *Statewide* results.



Section 1: Demographics

This section of the survey focused on demographic questions, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, employment status, current occupation, level of church and community volunteering, recipient location, and number of children present in the home.

Table 1.1: WHAT I	S YOUR AGE?																		
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Url	ban	Ru	ıral	Fror	ntier		nunity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant TAL	TO1	AL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	18-20 years	- 6	- .7	3	- .4	3	- .4	- 12	- .5	-	1 1	-	1 1		-	-	-	- 12	.4
	21-24 years	- 15	- 1.7	2 9	2.0 1.3	- 9	- 1.1	2 33	.6 1.4	2 2	1.7 .5	-	-	1 3	4.2 2.6	3 5	2.0 .8	5 38	1.0 1.3
	25-44 years	29 203	24.8 23.5	16 173	16.0 24.3	21 151	18.8 19.3	66 527	20.1 22.4	52 170	45.2 42.9	7 30	50.0 36.1	4 41	16.7 36.0	63 241	41.2 40.6	129 768	26.8 26.0
	45-64 years	57 412	48.7 47.7	55 322	55.0 45.3	54 347	48.2 44.3	166 1081	50.5 45.9	57 210	49.6 53.0	6 50	42.9 60.2	19 65	79.2 57.0	82 325	53.6 54.8	248 1406	51.5 47.7
	65 + years	30 215	25.6 24.9	26 197	26.0 27.7	36 259	32.1 33.1	92 671	28.0 28.5	4 12	3.5 3.0	1 3	7.1 3.6	- 5	- 4.4	5 20	3.3 3.4	97 691	20.1 23.4
	Did not answer	1 12	.9 1.4	1 7	1.0 1.0	1 14	. <mark>9</mark> 1.8	<i>3</i> 33	.9 1.4	- 2	- .5	-	-	-	-	2	- .3	3 35	.6 1.2
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100

Viewing the overall age distribution for *Statewide* (47.4%) and *Region VII* (51.5%) it is apparent that roughly half of the sample fall within the 45-64 age range. Of the *Key Informants* in *Region VII*, 41.2 percent were between 25-44 years of age. Furthermore, the *Region VII* overall total for 65 plus category was slightly overrepresented at 20.1 percent when compared to the U.S. Census 2006 estimates which report that persons *65 years and over* account for 14.6 percent of North Dakota's population; an overrepresentation influenced by the age of the *Community Members* as 28 percent indicated they were 65 and above.

Table 1.2: SEX																			
				сом	MUNI [.]	TY ME	MBER	S				KE	Y INFO	ORMA	NTS			OVEF	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	R	ural	Fro	ntier		ormant TAL	тот	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Male	44 342	37.6 39.6	29 234	29.0 32.9	43 288	38.4 36.8	116 864	35.3 36.7	17 94	14.8 23.7	1 21	7.1 25.3	11 42	45.8 36.8	29 157	19.0 26.5	145 1021	30.1 34.6
	Female	72 506	61.5 58.6	7 0 466	70.0 65.5	67 476	59.8 60.8	209 1448	63.5 61.4	97 298	84.3 75.3	13 62	92.9 74.7	13 72	54.2 63.2	123 432	80.4 72.8	332 1880	68.9 63.7
	Did not answer	1 15	. <mark>9</mark> 1.7	1 11	1.0 1.5	2 19	1.8 2.4	4 45	1.2 1.9	1 4	.9 1.0	-	-	-	-	1 4	.7 .7	5 49	1.0 1.7
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100

According to the U.S. Census Bureau 2006 estimates, females constitute 49.8 percent of the population in North Dakota. *Statewide*, over sixty percent (61.4% *Community Members* and 72.8% *Key Informants*) of the survey population were *female*. The finding that a slightly higher proportion of females are represented in the sample also holds true for *Region VII* participants (63.5% of *Community Members* and 80.4% of *Key Informants*).

Table 1.3: RACE **COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Community **Key Informant** TOTAL Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier TOTAL Statewide Member TOTAL Ν % N % N Ν % N % % % % Ν % Ν % Ν Ν 112 95.7 96 96.0 106 94.6 314 95.4 113 98.3 13 92.9 19 79.2 145 94.8 459 95.2 Caucasian 827 95.8 676 95.1 742 94.8 2245 95.2 382 96.5 72 86.7 98 86.0 552 93.1 2797 94.8 **Black or African American** 2 .3 .5 .5 7 .2 .2 2 1 .9 3 .2 American Indian or Alaska Native .9 2.0 3 2.7 1.8 .9 1 7.1 20.8 4.6 **13** 2.7 1.0 3.1 12 1.5 1.8 1.5 12.0 13 11.4 4.9 2.4 **Asian** .1 1 .0 1 .0 **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** .9 .3 .2 .2 .1 1 .3 .2 .1 **Hispanic or Latino** 1 .0 1 .0 1 .1 Other .2 .1 .5 .3 .9 .2 8 .3 1 1 Did not answer 2.6 2 2.0 3 2.7 8 2.4 1 .9 1 .7 9 1.9 61 20 2.3 12 1.7 22 2.8 54 2.3 5 1.3 1.2 .9 7 1.2 2.1 1 100 482 100 **Total** 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

According to the U.S. Census Bureau 2006, North Dakota race/ethnicity estimates for white persons was 91.9 percent and for *American Indian and Alaska Native* persons, 5.4 percent. Given the racial and ethnic composition of North Dakota it is not surprising that 94.8 percent of the *Statewide* sample and 95.2 percent of the *Region VII* sample classify themselves as *Caucasian*.

Table 1.4: EMF	PLOYMENT STATUS																		
				сом	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Fro	ntier		ormant TAL		TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Full Time	65 462	55.6 53.5	42 339	42.0 47.7	46 356	41.1 45.5	153 1157	46.5 49.1	102 355	88.7 89.6	11 71	78.6 85.5	21 99	87.5 86.8	134 525	87.6 88.5	287 1682	59.5 57.0
	Part Time	11 93	9.4 10.8	17 102	17.0 14.3	13 91	11.6 11.6	41 286	12.5 12.1	7 24	6.1 6.1	3 8	21.4 9.6	1 8	4.2 7.0	11 40	7.2 6.7	52 326	10.8 11.1
	Full Time w/ Second Job	4 33	3.4 3.8	1 24	1.0 3.4	5 27	4.5 3.4	10 84	3.0 3.6	3 9	2.6 2.3	- 2	- 2.4	2 6	8.3 5.3	5 17	3.3 2.9	15 101	3.1 3.4
	Not Employed	35 260	29.9 30.1	39 232	39.0 32.6	46 290	41.1 37.0	120 782	36.5 33.2	2 5	1.7 1.3	- 2	- 2.4	-	-	<mark>2</mark> 7	1.3 1.2	122 789	25.3 26.7
	Did not answer	2 15	1.7 1.7	1 14	1.0 2.0	2 19	1.8 2.4	5 48	1.5 2.0	1 3	. <mark>9</mark> .8	-	-	- 1	- .9	1 4	. 7 .7	6 52	1.2 1.8
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100

Fifty-seven percent of the *Statewide* sample and 59.5 percent of the *Region VII* sample report *full-time* employment, while 26.7 percent of the *Statewide* sample and 25.3 percent of the *Region VII* sample were *not employed*.

Table 1.5: CURRENT OCCUPATION (if not working, check category of last employment) COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII **Key Informant** TOTAL Community Urban Frontier Urban Frontier Rural Rural Member TOTAL TOTAL Statewide Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 2.6 14 14.0 21 18.8 38 11.6 38 7.9 3 **Agriculture** 39 4.5 116 16.3 168 21.5 323 13.7 1 .9 1 .2 324 11.0 Construction/Mining 5.1 9.0 6 5.4 21 6.4 21 4.4 35 4.1 38 5.3 27 3.4 100 4.2 100 3.4 4 3.4 5 5.0 4 3.6 13 4.0 2.7 Manufacturing 13 28 3.2 29 4.1 24 3.1 81 3.4 2.7 **Transportation/Utilities** 5.1 5 5.0 4 3.6 15 4.6 15 3.1 40 3.0 2.7 82 3.5 4.6 21 21 82 2.8 .9 2.0 3 .9 3 .6 Wholesale 13 1.5 3 .4 4 .5 20 .8 20 .7 Retail 7 6.0 5 5.0 6 5.4 18 5.5 18 3.7 77 8.9 42 183 183 64 9.0 5.4 7.8 6.2 2 2.0 2 1.8 7 2.1 1 .9 1.7 Finance/Real Estate 3 2.6 .7 17 2.4 25 3.2 69 2.9 .3 .2 70 2.4 3.1 1 **Business and Repair Services** 4.3 3 3.0 1 .9 9 2.7 9 1.9 30 3.5 28 3.9 18 2.3 76 3.2 76 2.6 43 36.8 30.0 27 24.1 71 56.2 **Professional** 30 100 30.4 61.7 64.3 25.0 86 186 38.6 245 28.4 139 19.5 172 22.0 556 23.6 246 62.1 44 53.0 48 42.1 338 57.0 894 30.3 Government 12 10.3 4 4.0 11 9.8 27 8.2 21 18.3 1 7.1 10 41.7 32 20.9 **59** 12.2 10.0 48 6.8 77 9.8 211 9.0 69 17.4 12 14.5 26 22.8 107 18.0 318 10.8 Leisure and Hospitality 5 4.3 5.0 6.2 17 5.2 .9 .7 18 5 1 3.7 36 6.0 47 6.0 126 5.3 2 .5 2 .3 128 4.2 43 4.3 10.7 11.2 13.9 17.0 63 13.1 16 13.7 9 9.0 12 37 16 3 21.4 29.2 26 Education 132 15.3 101 14.2 79 10.1 312 13.2 71 17.9 24 28.9 35 30.7 130 21.9 442 15.0 1 3.3 Other (selected but did not specify) 4.3 3 3.0 6 5.4 14 4.3 3 2.6 7.1 1 4.2 19 3.9 27 103 4.4 1.0 1.2 3 2.6 8 1.3 111 3.8 4.1 3.8 41 5.2 4 Student .2 .2 1 .1 2 .3 2 .3 5 5 **Entrepreneur/Self-Employed** 1.0 .3 .2 .6 8 .3 .3 .2 2 .3 Clergy .9 .3 .2 1 1 3 5 .2 5 .2 .1 1 .1 .4 .9 3 3.0 4 3.6 8 2.4 1.3 Did not answer 2 1.7 2 10 2.1 97 103 36 4.2 30 4.2 31 4.0 4.1 3 .8 2 2.4 .9 6 1.0 3.5 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 Total 2357 396 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 100 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950

At the *Statewide* level, *Professional* (23.6%), *Agriculture* (13.7%), and *Education* (13.2%) were among the most frequent categories of employment selected by *Community Members*, while *Key Informants* categorized their employment as *Professional* (57.0%), and *Education* (21.9%). Within *Region VII*, *Professional* (30.4%), *Agriculture* (11.6%), and *Education* (11.2%) were among the most frequent categories of employment selected by *Community Members*, while *Key Informants* categorized their employment as *Professional* (56.2%), *Government* (20.9%), and *Education* (17%).

Table 1.6: COM	IMUNITY VOLUNTEERI	NG-(Churc	:h															
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVER	RALL
Region VIIStatewide			ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comm Member	,	Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant TAL	тот	AL
				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Volunteer	41 384	35.0 44.5	46 377	46.0 53.0	61 422	54.5 53.9	148 1183	45.0 50.2	44 153	38.3 38.6	9 43	64.3 51.8	12 60	50.0 52.6	65 256	42.5 43.2	213 1439	44.2 48.8
	Do not volunteer	75 466	64.1 54.0	52 327	52.0 46.0	51 353	45.5 45.1	178 1146	54.1 48.6	69 241	60.0 60.9	5 40	35.7 48.2	12 54	50.0 47.4	86 335	56.2 56.5	264 1481	54.8 50.2
	Did not answer	1 13	. <mark>9</mark> 1.5	2 7	2.0 1.0	8	1.0	3 28	. <mark>9</mark> 1.2	2 2	1.7 .5	1 1	-	, ,	, ,	2 2	1.3 .3	5 30	1.0 1.0
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100

Table 1.7: COM	IMUNITY VOLUNTEERI	NG-0	Civic																
				сом	MUNIT	Y ME	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comm Member	,	Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Fro	ntier	Key Inf	ormant TAL	тот	AL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Volunteer	29 257	24.8 29.8	30 243	30.0 34.2	49 334	43.8 42.7	108 834	32.8 35.4	43 146	37.4 36.9	6 39	42.9 47.0	12 43	50.0 37.7	61 228	39.9 38.4	169 1062	35.1 36.0
	Do not volunteer	87 593	74.4 68.7	67 461	67.0 64.8	63 441	56.2 56.3	217 1495	66.0 63.4	70 248	60.9 62.6	8 44	57.1 53.0	12 71	50.0 62.3	90 363	58.8 61.2	307 1858	63.7 63.0
	Did not answer	1 13	. <mark>9</mark> 1.5	3 7	3.0 1.0	- 8	1.0	4 28	1.2 1.2	2 2	1.7 .5		-	-	, ,	2 2	1.3 .3	6 30	1.2 1.0
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100

Volunteering is often considered to be a primary activity for promoting both social and personal change. Overall, 48.8 percent of *Statewide* and 44.2 percent *Region VII* respondents reported engaging in some type of *church-related* volunteer activity. When asked to specify the type of *church-related* volunteer activity.

related volunteering, responses ranged from providing guidance to youth, teaching bible studies/Sunday school, and fundraising through membership in women's groups.

Regarding *civic-related* volunteering activity, 63 percent of *Statewide* respondents and 63.7 percent of *Region VII* respondents indicated they *do not volunteer* as often for *civic-related* community activities. Of those respondents (36.0% *Statewide* and 35.1% *Region VII*) indicating that they do volunteer for *civic-related* community activities, respondents cited that most voluntary service took place in social, political, and cultural contexts.

Table 1.8: NU	MBER of CHILDREN in YC	UR I	НОМ	Ξ															
				сом	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVEF	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comm Member	,	Ur	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Info	ormant TAL	тот	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	None	7 6	65.0	66	66.0	80	71.4	222	67.5	61	53.0	5	35.7	10	41.7	76	49.7	298	61.8
	(including children NOT living at home)	540	62.6	443	62.3	524	66.9	1507	63.9	202	51.0	39	47.0	46	40.4	287	48.4	1794	60.8
	1-2	35	29.9	22	22.0	24	21.4	81	24.6	43	37.4	7	50.0	11	45.8	61	39.9	142	29.5
		231	26.8	190	26.7	185	23.6	606	25.7	155	39.1	29	34.9	49	43.0	233	39.3	839	28.4
	3+	5	4.3	12	12.0	8	7.1	25	7.6	11	9.6	2	14.3	3	12.5	16	10.5	41	8.5
		83	9.6	72	10.1	64	8.2	219	9.3	39	9.8	14	16.9	17	14.9	70	11.8	289	9.8
	Did not answer	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.2
		9	1.0	6	.8	10	1.3	25	1.1	-	-	1	1.2	2	1.8	3	.5	28	.9
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Considering both the *Statewide* and *Region VII* respondents, roughly sixty percent overall reported having *no children (including children NOT living at home)* while one-quarter (28.4% *Statewide* and 29.5% *Region VII*) indicated *1-2* children.

Section 2: Community Perception of Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem

Items in this category focused on perception of alcohol and other drug use issues within each community. Participants were questioned as to how much of a problem they perceived for both adults and youth regarding the following: use of alcohol; use of tobacco; and use of marijuana to be in their community. Other items consisted of use of Inhalants, Cocaine, Methamphetamine, Over-the-Counter Drugs, Prescription Drugs, and Hallucinogens. Final items in this section included contribution of Drug and Alcohol use to crashes or injuries, and contribution of Drug and Alcohol use to crashes

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question.

Table 2.1.1: I	Perception of ALCOHOL	use i	n yoı	ır coı	mmu	nity													
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVEI	RΔII
Region VIIStatewide		Url	ban	Ru	ral	Froi	ntier		nunity er TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Info		TO1	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	7	6.0	4	4.0	6	5.4	17	5.2	-	-	-	-	1	4.2	1	.7	18	3.7
		34	3.9	47	6.6	46	5.9	127	5.4	2	.5	-	-	1	.9	3	.5	130	4.4
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	72	61.5	69	69.0	75	67.0	216	65.7	69	60.0	11	78.6	11	45.8	91	59.5	<i>307</i>	<i>63.7</i>
		552	64.0	449	63.2	535	68.3	1536	65.2	219	55.3	48	57.8	77	67.5	344	58.0	1880	63.7
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	32	27.4	18	18.0	21	18.8	71	21.6	44	38.3	2	14.3	11	45.8	57	37.3	128	26.6
ADILIT		239	27.7	155	21.8	152	19.4	546	23.2	168	42.4	33	39.8	35	30.7	236	39.8	782	26.5
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	5	4.3	9	9.0	7	6.2	21	6.4	2	1.7	1	7.1	1	4.2	4	2.6	25	5.2
		32	3.7	52	7.3	36	4.6	120	5.1	7	1.8	2	2.4	1	.9	10	1.7	130	4.4
	Did not answer	1	.9	-	-	3	2.7	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-			4	.8
		6	.7	8	1.1	14	1.8	28	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	.9
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Table 2.1.1 Co	ont'd: Perception of ALC	ОНО	OL us	e in y	our (comn	nunit	ty											
				сомг	ииии	Y MEI	MBERS	S				OVE	RALL						
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	Rural		Frontier		Community Member TOTAL		Urban		Rural		ntier	Key Informant TOTAL			TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	2	1.7	3	3.0	3	2.7	8	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	1.7
		13	1.5	39	5.5	23	2.9	75	3.2	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	76	2.6
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	37	31.6	46	46.0	65	58.0	148	45.0	30	26.1	4	28.6	10	41.7	44	28.8	192	39.8
		336	38.9	327	46.0	404	51.6	1067	45.3	129	32.6	28	33.7	53	46.5	210	35.4	1277	43.3
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	70	59.8	36	36.0	29	25.9	135	41.0	83	72.2	9	64.3	14	58.3	106	69.3	241	50.0
		448	51.9	259	36.4	266	34.0	973	41.3	256	64.6	52	62.7	61	53.5	369	62.2	1342	45.5
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	6	5.1	8	8.0	8	7.1	22	6.7	1	.9	1	7.1	-	-	2	1.3	24	5.0
		38	4.4	55	7.7	51	6.5	144	6.1	4	1.0	1	1.2	-	-	5	.8	149	5.1
	Did not answer	2	1.7	7	7.0	7	6.2	16	4.9	1	.9			-	-	1	.7	17	3.5
		28	3.2	31	4.4	39	5.0	98	4.2	6	1.5	2	2.4	-	-	8	1.3	106	3.6
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Statewide, 65.2 percent of the Community Members indicated that adult use of alcohol is a minor to moderate problem in their community and 23.2 percent felt this was a serious problem. Similarly, 58 percent of the Key Informant participants felt this was a minor to moderate problem in their community, while 39.8 percent responded this was a serious problem. Turning specifically to Region VII responses, 65.7 percent of the Community Members and 59.5 percent of the Key Informants indicated this to be a minor to moderate problem in their community; 21.6 percent and 37.3 percent respectively felt this was a serious problem in the community.

The overall perception of alcohol use by adults and youth did not vary within *Region VII*. That is, 90.3 percent perceived *adult* use as either a *minor to moderate* or *serious* problem overall whereas 89.8 percent of perceived *youth* alcohol use as either a *minor to moderate* or *serious* problem. Furthermore, *Region VII* aligns with the *Statewide* perception of *youth* alcohol use where *Key Informants* perceived youth use of alcohol as more problematic than *Community Members*. That is, 41 percent of the *Community Member* participants compared to 69.3 percent of *Key Informants* felt that youth alcohol use was a *serious* problem.

able 2.1.2: P	erception of TOBACCO	use i	n you	ır co	mmu	nity															
- 5				сом	COMMUNITY MEMBERS							KEY INFORMANTS									
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	Rural		Frontier		Community Member TOTAL		Urban		ıral	Frontier		Key Informant TOTAL		TO ⁻	TAL		
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
	NOT A PROBLEM	5	4.3	7	7.0	3	2.7	15	4.6	3	2.6	-	-	1	4.2	4	2.6	19	3.9		
		59	6.8	43	6.0	62	7.9	164	7.0	10	2.5	3	3.6	8	7.0	21	3.5	185	6.3		
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	75 542	64.1	67	67.0	86	76.8	228	69.3	73	63.5	11	78.6	17	70.8	101	66.0	329	68.3		
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	542 31	62.8 26.5	473 17	66.5 17.0	545 13	69.6 11.6	1560 61	66.2 18.5	237 37	59.8 32.2	51 2	61.4 14.3	83 5	72.8 20.8	371 44	62.6 28.8	1931 105	65.5 21.8		
	SEMIOUS I NOBELIM	220	25.5	132	18.6	127	16.2	479	20.3	138	34.8	26	31.3	22	19.3	186	31.4	665	22.5		
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	5	4.3	7	7.0	7	6.2	19	5.8	2	1.7	1	7.1	1	4.2	4	2.6	23	4.8		
		37	4.3	53	7.5	37	4.7	127	5.4	8	2.0	3	3.6	1	.9	12	2.0	139	4.7		
	Did not answer	1	.9	2	2.0	3	2.7	6	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1.2		
		5	.6	10	1.4	12	1.5	27	1.1	3	.8	-	-	-	-	3	.5	30	1.0		
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100		
	NOT A PROPERTY	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100		
	NOT A PROBLEM	2	1.7	4	4.0	1	.9	7	2.1	-	-	-	-	1	4.2	1	.7	8	1.7		
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	24	2.8	44	6.2	27	3.4	95	4.0	2	.5	1	1.2	4	3.5	7	1.2	102	3.5		
	WIINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	55	47.0	52	52.0 55.8	76	67.9	183	55.6	62	53.9	8	57.1	15	62.5 66.7	85	55.6	268	55.6		
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	448 53	51.9 45.3	397	23.0	474	60.5 13.4	1319	56.0 27.7	220	55.6	48	57.8	76	33.3	344	58.0 41.8	1663	56.4 32.2		
	SERIOUS PROBLEIM	319	45.3 37.0	23 166	23.3	15 165	21.1	91 650	27.7	51 163	44.3 41.2	30	35.7 36.1	8 30	26.3	64 223	37.6	155 873	29.6		
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	319 4	3.4	14	14.0	14	12.5	32	9.7	105	.9	1	7.1	-	20.5	223	1.3	34	7.1		
1001H use	DON'T RIVOW	47	5.4	78	11.0	83	10.6	208	8.8	6	1.5	2	2.4	4	3.5	12	2.0	220	7.1		
	Did not answer	3	2.6	76	7.0	6	5.4	16	4.9	1	.9		4.4	4	5.5	1	.7	17	3.5		
	Dia not unswer	25	2.9	26	3.7	34	4.3	85	3.6	5	1.3	2	2.4	_	_	7	1.2	92	3.1		
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100		
	, ota,	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100		

The *Statewide* perceptions for both *Community Members* and *Key Informants* are very similar regarding the nature of tobacco use by adults and youth. Overall, 65.5 percent of *Statewide* respondents perceived *adult* use of tobacco as a *minor to moderate* problem while 56.4 percent perceived *youth* use of tobacco as a *minor to moderate* problem.

Within *Region VII*, 68.3 percent of respondents overall designate tobacco use among *adults* as a *minor to moderate* problem while 55.6 percent perceived tobacco use among *youth* as a *minor to moderate* problem. The only differences in perception noted between *Community Members* and *Key Informants* was in their perception of tobacco use among *youth* as *Key Informants* rated this as more of a *serious* problem.

Table 2.1.3: Pe	erception of MARIJUAN	A us	e in y	our/	comr	nuni	ty												
				сом	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	S			OVE	RALL							
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	Rural		Frontier		Community Member TOTAL		Urban		ıral	Frontier		Key Informant TOTAL		TO ⁻	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	6 58	5.1 6.7	11 97	11.0 13.6	10 110	8.9 14.0	27 265	8.2 11.2	4 8	3.5 2.0	- 3	3.6	2 10	8.3 8.8	6 21	3.9 3.5	33 286	6.8 9.7
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	56 413	47.9 47.9	41 270	41.0 38.0	52 302	46.4 38.6	149 985	45.3 41.8	76 257	66.1 64.9	9 52	64.3 62.7	13 74	54.2 64.9	98 383	64.1 64.6	247 1368	51.2 46.4
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	22 124	18.8 14.4	9 65	9.0 9.1	7 75	6.2 9.6	38 264	11.6 11.2	21 78	18.3 19.7	2 17	14.3 20.5	6 15	25.0 13.2	29 110	19.0 18.5	67 374	13.9 12.7
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	31 257	26.5 29.8	37 265	37.0 37.3	41 279	36.6 35.6	109 801	33.1 34.0	14 51	12.2 12.9	3 10	21.4 12.0	3 15	12.5 13.2	20 76	13.1 12.8	129 877	26.8 29.7
	Did not answer	2 11	1.7 1.3	2 14	2.0 2.0	2 17	1.8 2.2	6 42	1.8 1.8	- 2	- .5	- 1	1.2	-	-	- 3	- .5	6 45	1.2 1.5
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100
	NOT A PROBLEM	4 21	3.4 2.4	4 60	4.0 8.4	5 64	4.5 8.2	13 145	4.0 6.2	2 3	1.7 .8	1	7.1 1.2	1 7	4.2 6.1	4 11	2.6 1.9	17 156	3.5 5.3
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	44 360	37.6 41.7	44 266	44.0 37.4	56 308	50.0 39.3	144 934	43.8 39.6	60 206	52.2 52.0	5 47	35.7 56.6	14 66	58.3 57.9	79 319	51.6 53.8	223 1253	46.3 42.5
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	37 230	31.6 26.7	15 107	15.0 15.0	10 108	8.9 13.8	62 445	18.8 18.9	44 148	38.3 37.4	5 24	35.7 28.9	4 20	16.7 17.5	53 192	34.6 32.4	115 637	23.9 21.6
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	29	24.8	31	31.0	37	33.0	97	29.5	8	7.0	3	21.4	5	20.8	16	10.5	113	23.4
	Did not answer	224 3	26.0 2.6	249 6	35.0 6.0	264 4	33.7 3.6	737 13	31.3 4.0	33 1	8.3 .9	8	9.6	21	18.4	62 1	10.5 .7	799 14	27.1 2.9
	Total	28 117	3.2 100	29 100	4.1 100	39 112	5.0 100	96 329	4.1 100	6 115	1.5 100	3 14	3.6 100	- 24	100	9 153	1.5 100	105 482	3.6 100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Overall, 46.4 percent of the *Statewide* sample view the use of marijuana by *adults* as a *minor to moderate* problem and 42.5 percent perceived the use of marijuana by *youth* as a *minor to moderate* problem. Nearly one-third of the *Statewide* sample indicated that they *don't know* the extent of marijuana use by *adults* and/or *youth* (29.7% and 27.1% respectively) within their community.

Survey participants residing in *Region VII* displayed little variation from the statewide percentages. Over forty percent (45.3%) of the *Community Members* and 64.1 percent of the *Key Informants* designate marijuana use by *adults* as a *minor to moderate* problem. Marijuana use by *youth* was perceived as a *minor to moderate* problem by 43.8 percent of the *Community Members* and 51.6 percent of the *Key Informants*.

About one-quarter of *Region VII* respondents indicated that they *don't know* the extent of marijuana use by *adults* and/or *youth* (26.8% and 23.4% respectively) within their community.

Table 2.1.4: Pe	rception of INHALANT	(glue, p	paint, a	erosols,	solvent	s, etc.)	use i	n yoı	ır cor	nmu	nity								
- Dorion VIII				сом	MUNIT	Y ME	MBERS	5			OVERALI								
Region VIIStatewide		Url	ban	Rι	Rural		Frontier		Community Member TOTAL		Urban		ıral	Frontier		Key Informant TOTAL		TO	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	17 151	14.5 17.5	28 187	28.0 26.3	34 230	30.4 29.4	79 568	24.0 24.1	18 75	15.7 18.9	7 31	50.0 37.3	4 36	16.7 31.6	29 142	19.0 23.9	108 710	22.4 24.1
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	45 290	38.5 33.6	15 133	15.0 18.7	16 123	14.3 15.7	76 546	23.1 23.2	65 205	56.5 51.8	4 34	28.6 41.0	13 39	54.2 34.2	82 278	53.6 46.9	158 824	32.8 27.9
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	6 35	5.1 4.1	1 11	1.0 1.5	1 13	.9 1.7	8 59	2.4 2.5	8 12	7.0 3.0	- 1	1.2	-	-	8	5.2 2.2	16 72	3.3 2.4
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	47 373	40.2 43.2	54 365	54.0 51.3	58 399	51.8 51.0	159 1137	48.3 48.2	24 101	20.9 25.5	3 15	21.4 18.1	7 38	29.2 33.3	34 154	22.2 26.0	193 1291	40.0 43.8
	Did not answer	2 14	1.7 1.6	2 15	2.0 2.1	3 18	2.7 2.3	7 47	2.1 2.0	3	- .8	- 2	2.4	- 1	<u>-</u> .9	- 6	1.0	7 53	1.5 1.8
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100
	NOT A PROBLEM	5 46	4.3 5.3	14 119	14.0 16.7	20 141	17.9 18.0	39 306	11.9 13.0	1 8	.9 2.0	2 13	14.3 15.7	3 16	12.5 14.0	6 37	3.9 6.2	45 343	9.3 11.6
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	47 343	40.2 39.7	27 186	27.0 26.2	28 177	25.0 22.6	102 706	31.0 30.0	67 248	58.3 62.6	9 51	64.3 61.4	13 53	54.2 46.5	89 352	58.2 59.4	191 1058	39.6 35.9
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	21 121	17.9 14.0	2 28	2.0 3.9	3 39	2.7 5.0	26 188	7.9 8.0	35 76	30.4 19.2	- 4	- 4.8	1 12	4.2 10.5	36 92	23.5 15.5	62 280	12.9 9.5
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	43	36.8	52	52.0	56	50.0	151	45.9	11	9.6	3	21.4	7	29.2	21	13.7	172	35.7
	Did not answer	325 1	37.7 .9	355 5	49.9 5.0	386 5	49.3 4.5	1066 11	45.2 3.3	58 1	14.6 .9	13	15.7	31	27.2	102 1	.7	1168 12	39.6 2.5
	*	28	3.2	23	3.2	40	5.1	91	3.9	6	1.5	2	2.4	2	1.8	10	1.7	101	3.4
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100

Both *Statewide* and *Region VII Community Member* respondents appear to be less aware regarding the presence and use of inhalants within their community. With *adult* use, 48.2 percent of *Statewide Community Members* and 48.3 percent of *Region VII Community Members* indicated they *don't know* when asked to indicate how problematic inhalant use by *adults* was in their community. Similarly, 45.2 percent of *Statewide Community Members* and 45.9 percent of *Region VII Community Members* indicated they *don't know* when asked about *youth* use of inhalants. Notably, both *Statewide* and

Region VII Key Informants rating was very different from Community Members in that between 46.9 and 59.4 percent perceived adult and youth inhalant use as a minor to moderate problem.

Table 2.1.5: Pe	rception of COCAINE u	se in	you	r con	nmun	ity													
- Davies VIII				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5			OVE	RALL							
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	Urban		Rural		Frontier		Community Member TOTAL		Urban		ıral	Frontier		Key Informant TOTAL		TO ⁻	ΓAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	14	12.0	16	16.0	28	25.0	58	17.6	4	3.5	3	21.4	6	25.0	13	8.5	71	14.7
		96	11.1	135	19.0	203	25.9	434	18.4	14	3.5	18	21.7	31	27.2	63	10.6	497	16.8
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	49	41.9	21	21.0	23	20.5	93	28.3	74	64.3	6	42.9	9	37.5	89	58.2	182	37.8
		317	36.7	148	20.8	151	19.3	616	26.1	237	59.8	43	51.8	42	36.8	322	54.3	938	31.8
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	9	7.7	5	5.0	2	1.8	16	4.9	13	11.3		-	2	8.3	15	9.8	31	6.4
ADULT use	DON'T KNOW	59	6.8	25	3.5 56.0	26	3.3 50.0	110	4.7	48	12.1	5 5	6.0	3	2.6 29.2	56	9.4	166	5.6 39.4
	DON I KNOW	43 376	43.6	56 390	56.0 54.9	56 385	49.2	155 1151	47.1 48.8	23 96	20.0 24.2	5 17	35.7 20.5	7 38	33.3	35 151	25.5	190 1302	39.4 44.1
	Did not answer	2	1.7	2	2.0	3	2.7	7	2.1	1	.9	-	20.5	-	33.3	1	.7	8	1.7
	Bid not driswer	15	1.7	13	1.8	18	2.3	46	2.0	1	.3	_	_	_	_	1	.2	47	1.6
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	NOT A PROBLEM	13	11.1	16	16.0	27	24.1	56	17.0	4	3.5	5	35.7	8	33.3	17	11.1	73	15.1
		87	10.1	135	19.0	184	23.5	406	17.2	25	6.3	24	28.9	34	29.8	83	14.0	489	16.6
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	45	38.5	21	21.0	22	19.6	88	26.7	73	63.5	4	28.6	9	37.5	86	56.2	174	36.1
		303	35.1	138	19.4	134	17.1	575	24.4	228	57.6	36	43.4	40	35.1	304	51.3	879	29.8
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	12	10.3	3	3.0	2	1.8	17	5.2	11	9.6	-	_	2	8.3	13	8.5	30	6.2
		66	7.6	26	3.7	27	3.4	119	5.0	34	8.6	4	4.8	4	3.5	42	7.1	161	5.5
YOUTH use	DON'T KNOW	46	39.3	55	55.0	56	50.0	157	47.7	25	21.7	5	35.7	5	20.8	35	22.9	192	39.8
1001H use	DOM I KNOW	381	44.1	388	54.6	399	51.0	1168	49.6	103	26.0	18	21.7	36	31.6	157	26.5	1325	44.9
	Did not answer	1	.9		5.0		4.5		3.3		1.7	10	21./	30	31.0			1323	2.7
	Dia not answer	_		5		5		11		2		1	1.2	_	_	2	1.3	_	
		26	3.0	24	3.4	39	5.0	89	3.8	6	1.5	1	1.2	-	-	7	1.2	96	3.3
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Similar to perceptions of inhalant use, both *Statewide* and *Region VII Community Member* respondents appear to be less aware regarding the presence and use of cocaine in their community. With *adult* use, 48.8 percent of *Statewide Community Members* and 47.1 percent of *Region VII Community Members* indicated they *don't know* the extent of cocaine use by *adults* was in their community. Similarly, 49.6 percent of *Statewide*Community Members and 47.7 percent of *Region VII Community Members* indicated *don't know* when asked about *youth* use of cocaine. Notably, both

Statewide and **Region VII** Key Informants ratings of cocaine use were very different from *Community Members* in that between 51.3 and 58.2 percent perceived *adult* and *youth* inhalant use as a *minor to moderate problem*.

Table 2.1.6: Perception of METHAMPHETAMINE use in your community COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region VII TOTAL **Key Informant** Community Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL % Ν % Ν % Ν % N % % N % Ν % % **NOT A PROBLEM** 4 3.4 10 10.0 10 8.9 24 7.3 1 .9 1 7.1 1 4.2 3 2.0 27 5.6 42 4.9 84 11.8 113 14.4 239 10.1 5 1.3 3 3.6 9 7.9 17 2.9 256 8.7 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 47 40.2 32 32.0 46 41.1 125 38.0 42 36.5 8 57.1 11 45.8 61 39.9 186 38.6 31.2 164 41.4 52.6 1051 315 36.5 222 238 30.4 775 32.9 52 62.7 60 276 46.5 35.6 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 44 37.6 17 17.0 10 8.9 71 21.6 65 56.5 4 28.6 6 25.0 75 49.0 146 30.3 304 35.2 145 20.4 126 575 205 51.8 23 27.7 22.8 254 42.8 829 28.1 16.1 24.4 26 **ADULT** use DON'T KNOW 19 16.2 40 40.0 39.3 31.3 7 6.1 1 7.1 20.8 8.5 116 24.1 44 103 13 193 22.4 250 35.2 289 36.9 732 31.1 22 5.6 5 6.0 18 15.8 45 7.6 777 26.3 Did not answer 4.2 1 3 2.6 1 1.0 2 1.8 1.8 1 .7 1.5 9 1.0 10 1.4 17 2.2 36 1.5 1 .9 .2 37 1.3 1 117 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 24 100 153 100 482 100 Total 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 **NOT A PROBLEM** .9 12 12.0 12 10.7 25 7.6 .9 1 7.1 4 16.7 6 3.9 31 6.4 23 2.7 81 11.4 89 8.2 6 7.2 36 229 11.4 193 6 1.5 24 21.1 6.1 7.8 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 38.0 40.5 48 41.0 38 36.6 38.6 51 44.3 8 57.1 37.5 68 44.4 195 41 127 323 37.4 221 31.1 778 53 63.9 298 1076 36.5 234 29.9 33.0 196 49.5 49 43.0 50.3 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 43 36.8 11.0 8.9 19.5 52 45.2 14.3 16.7 37.9 122 25.3 11 10 707 293 34.0 131 18.4 114 14.6 538 22.8 145 36.6 13.3 11.4 169 28.5 24.0 **YOUTH** use DON'T KNOW 23 19.7 34.0 39.3 30.7 10 3 25.0 12.4 120 24.9 34 44 101 8.7 21.4 19 199 23.1 253 35.6 313 40.0 765 32.5 43 10.9 12 14.5 27 23.7 82 13.8 847 28.7 5 5 1.3 Did not answer 1.7 5.0 4.5 3.6 4.2 2.9 25 3.5 .9 8 1.3 91 2.9 25 33 4.2 3.5 6 1.2 3.1 Total 117 482 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

Regarding the use of methamphetamines by *adults*, 32.9 percent of *Statewide Community Members* reported this to be a *minor to moderate* problem, 24.4 percent felt this was a *serious* problem, and 31.1 percent indicated that they *do not know* the extent of the problem. According to *Key Informants*, 46.5 percent believed that methamphetamine use by *adults* was a *minor to moderate* problem and 42.8 percent indicated this to be a *serious* problem. Only 7.6 percent reported that they *don't know* the extent of the problem.

Regarding the use of methamphetamines by *youth*, 33 percent of the *Community Members* believed that this was a *minor to moderate* problem, 22.8 percent reported this to be a *serious* problem, and 32.5 percent *don't know* the extent of the problem within their community. Of the *Key Informants*, 50.3 percent thought that methamphetamine use by *youth* was a *minor to moderate* problem, 28.5 percent indicated this was a *serious* problem, and 13.8 percent *don't know* the scope of the problem.

Region VII responses from *Community Members* indicate that 38 percent felt *adult* use of methamphetamine was a *minor to moderate* problem, 21.6 percent indicated this to be a *serious* problem, and 31.3 percent specified that they *don't know* the extent of the problem. The *Key Informant* population appeared more informed as the majority (49.0%) perceived *adult* methamphetamine use as a *serious* problem.

Region VII responses were very similar when comparing youth to adult use of methamphetamine. Of the *Community Member* participants, 38.6 percent felt *youth* use of methamphetamine was a *minor to moderate* problem, 19.5 percent perceived this as a *serious* problem, and 30.7 specified they *don't know* the extent of the problem. Of the *Key Informants* 44.4 percent perceived methamphetamine use by youth as a *minor to moderate problem* and 37.9 percent as a *serious* problem.

Table 2.1.7: Perception of OVER-the-COUNTER DRUG (abuse of cough medicines, Dramamine, , diet pills, sleeping pills, etc.) use in your community COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Community **Key Informant** TOTAL Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % % % Ν **NOT A PROBLEM** 8.5 10.4 10 17 17.0 18 16.1 45 13.7 2 1.7 1 7.1 2 8.3 5 3.3 50 128 18.0 13.0 77 8.9 139 17.8 344 14.6 13 3.3 12 14.5 14 12.3 39 6.6 383 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 57 48.7 29 29.0 55.7 3 21.4 33.3 49.0 204 42.3 43 38.4 129 39.2 64 8 75 380 44.0 29.0 29.2 34.6 223 56.3 37 52 45.6 52.6 1127 38.2 206 229 815 44.6 312 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 15 12.8 1 1.0 1 .9 17 5.2 34 29.6 6 42.9 29.2 47 30.7 64 13.3 109 12.6 45 6.3 40 5.1 194 8.2 92 23.2 16 19.3 12 10.5 120 20.2 314 10.6 **ADULT** use DON'T KNOW 154 32 27.4 50 50.0 48 42.9 130 39.5 13 11.3 28.6 29.2 24 15.7 32.0 45.7 65 19.9 1094 287 33.3 325 364 46.5 976 41.4 16.4 17 20.5 36 31.6 118 37.1 Did not answer 3 2.6 3 3.0 2 1.8 8 2.4 2 1.7 2 1.3 10 2.1 _ 10 1.2 7 1.0 28 1.2 3 .8 1 1.2 4 .7 32 11 1.4 1.1 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 783 2357 396 100 863 100 711 100 100 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 **NOT A PROBLEM** 14 1 2 8.3 2.6 8.1 .9 14.0 20 17.9 35 10.6 .9 7.1 4 39 1 48 5.6 108 15.2 122 15.6 278 11.8 8 2.0 10 12.0 14 12.3 32 5.4 310 10.5 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 59 50.4 30.0 30.4 37.4 57 49.6 7 50.0 41.7 74 48.4 197 40.9 30 34 123 10 379 43.9 207 29.1 28.4 808 34.3 221 55.8 42 50.6 48.2 1126 38.2 222 55 318 53.6 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 22 18.8 5 5.0 2 1.8 29 8.8 44 38.3 2 14.3 25.0 52 34.0 **81** 16.8 134 15.5 50 7.0 36 4.6 220 9.3 107 27.0 15 18.1 10 8.8 132 22.3 352 11.9 **YOUTH** use DON'T KNOW 33 46.0 8.7 28.6 13.1 149 30.9 28.2 50 44.6 129 39.2 10 25.0 20 284 32.9 326 45.9 374 47.8 984 41.7 52 13.1 15 18.1 35 30.7 102 17.2 1086 36.8 Did not answer 1.7 5 5.0 6 5.4 13 4.0 3 2.6 3 2.0 3.3 9 1.5 76 18 2.1 20 2.8 29 3.7 67 2.8 8 2.0 1 1.2 2.6 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 396 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950

When asked to gauge over-the-counter drug abuse in their community, both *Statewide* and *Region VII Community Members* appeared to be less aware of such abuse among both *adults* and *youth* as roughly forty percent (range 39.2% to 41.7%) reported they *don't know* the extent of the problem. On the other hand, both *Statewide* and *Region VII Key Informants* perceived the *adult* abuse of over-the-counter drugs as a *minor to moderate* problem with 52.6 percent and 49 percent respectively. Regarding *youth* abuse, 53.6 percent of *Statewide Key Informants* and 48.4 percent of *Region VII Key Informants* gauged the problem as *minor to moderate*.

Table 2.1.8: Perception of PRESCRIPTION DRUG (abuse of) use in your community COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Community **Key Informant TOTAL** Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % % Ν **NOT A PROBLEM** 7.3 6 5.1 16 16.0 12 10.7 34 10.3 1 4.2 1 .7 35 17.7 1.5 66 7.6 126 137 17.5 329 14.0 6 8 9.6 7.9 23 3.9 352 11.9 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 51.3 28 28.0 42.0 135 58 50.4 6 42.9 9 37.5 47.7 208 43.2 60 47 41.0 73 389 45.1 210 29.5 32.2 36.1 201 50.8 38 45.8 44.7 290 48.9 1141 38.7 252 851 51 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 27 23.1 3 3.0 2 1.8 32 9.7 54 47.0 4 28.6 33.3 66 43.1 98 20.3 136 15.8 47 6.6 36 4.6 219 9.3 142 35.9 19 22.9 17 14.9 178 30.0 397 13.5 **ADULT** use DON'T KNOW 137 23 19.7 52 52.0 49 43.8 124 37.7 3 2.6 28.6 25.0 13 8.5 28.4 45.6 32.5 1037 266 30.8 324 346 44.2 936 39.7 46 11.6 18 21.7 37 101 17.0 35.2 Did not answer .9 1.0 2 1.8 1.2 4 .8 _ 6 .7 4 .6 12 1.5 22 .9 1 .3 1 .2 23 .8 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 711 783 2357 396 100 2950 100 863 100 100 100 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 **NOT A PROBLEM** 4.3 15 15.0 22 12.8 2 2 8.3 3.9 19.6 42 2 1.7 14.3 6 48 10.0 75 8.7 131 18.4 156 19.9 362 15.4 15 3.8 15 18.1 17 14.9 47 7.9 409 13.9 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 19 19.0 25.9 108 32.8 68 59.1 8 41.7 56.2 194 40.2 60 51.3 29 57.1 10 86 371 43.0 170 23.9 23.8 727 30.8 228 57.6 44 53.0 49 43.0 54.1 1048 35.5 186 321 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 20 17.1 6.0 2.7 29 8.8 28 24.3 20.8 33 21.6 **62** 12.9 96 11.1 38 5.3 29 3.7 163 6.9 71 17.9 5 6.0 5.3 82 13.8 245 8.3 **YOUTH** use DON'T KNOW 30 55.0 47.3 138 15 29.2 17.0 164 34.0 25.6 55 53 41.9 13.0 28.6 26 304 35.2 351 49.4 379 48.4 1034 43.9 75 18.9 17 20.5 42 36.8 134 22.6 1168 39.6 Did not answer 1.7 5 5.0 5 4.5 12 3.6 2 1.7 2 1.3 2.9 17 9 1.5 80 2.7 2.0 21 3.0 33 4.2 71 3.0 7 1.8 2 2.4 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 396 100 100 863 711 100 783 100 2357 100 100 83 114 100 593 100 2950

Similarly, when asked to gauge prescription drug abuse in their community, both *Statewide* and *Region VII Community Members* appeared to be less aware of abuse among both *adults* and *youth* where over least one-third (range 37.7% to 43.9%) reported they *don't know* the extent of the problem. On the other hand, both *Statewide* and *Region VII Key Informants* perceived the *adult* abuse of prescription drugs as a *minor to moderate* problem with 48.9 percent and 47.7 percent respectively. In regards to *youth* abuse, 54.1 percent of *Statewide Key Informants* and 56.2 percent of *Region VII Key Informants* gauged the problem as *minor to moderate*.

Table 2.1.9: Perception of HALLUCINOGEN (LSD, Shrooms, PCP, etc.) use in your community COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII TOTAL Community **Key Informant** Urban Rural Frontier Urban Frontier Rural Member TOTAL TOTAL Statewide Ν % Ν Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν **NOT A PROBLEM** 14.5 17 17.0 30 26.8 64 19.5 7.0 28.6 29.2 19 12.4 17.2 17 8 4 83 117 13.6 162 22.8 213 27.2 492 20.9 40 10.1 28 33.7 34 29.8 28 1.2 594 20.1 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 41 35.0 13 13.0 18 16.1 21.9 78 67.8 5 35.7 37.5 92 60.1 164 34.0 280 32.4 111 15.6 112 14.3 503 21.3 223 56.3 34 41.0 30 26.3 287 48.4 790 26.8 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 4 3.5 4.2 3.3 6 5.1 1 .9 2.1 1 5 12 2.5 30 9 50 2.1 13 3.3 2 15 2.5 65 3.5 11 1.5 1.1 1.8 2.2 **ADULT** use DON'T KNOW 52 54.5 25 21.7 29.2 217 44.4 67 67.0 61 180 54.7 35.7 37 24.2 45.0 429 49.7 418 58.8 437 55.8 1284 54.5 120 30.3 21 25.3 47 41.2 188 31.7 1472 49.9 Did not answer 3 2 1 .9 3.0 1.8 1.8 1.2 7 .8 9 1.3 12 1.5 28 1.2 1 .9 1 .2 29 1.0 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 **NOT A PROBLEM** 12.0 14 55 5.2 15.1 14.0 27 24.1 16.7 6 3 21.4 37.5 18 11.8 73 80 9.3 142 20.0 179 22.9 401 33 8.3 26 39 34.2 98 16.5 499 16.9 17.0 31.3 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 75 6 42 35.9 14 14.0 20 17.9 76 23.1 65.2 42.9 33.3 58.2 165 34.2 298 34.5 15.9 228 57.6 27 23.7 48.6 821 27.8 113 122 15.6 533 22.6 33 39.8 288 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 8.5 3.6 9 6.5 4.6 1.0 .9 4.2 10 5.7 15 2.1 16 2.0 80 3.4 18 4.5 2.4 1.8 22 3.7 102 3.5 **YOUTH** use DON'T KNOW 49 41.9 66 66.0 59 52.7 174 52.9 24 20.9 5 35.7 6 25.0 35 22.9 209 43.4 48.4 58.9 55.7 45 39.5 30.0 49.2 418 419 436 1273 54.0 112 28.3 21 25.3 178 1451 Did not answer 1.7 5 5.0 5 4.5 12 3.6 .9 .7 13 2.7 1 18 2.1 22 3.1 30 3.8 70 3.0 5 1.3 1 1.2 .9 7 1.2 77 2.6 Total 117 100 329 14 482 100 100 112 100 100 115 100 100 24 100 **153** 100 100 100 711 100 783 2357 100 396 100 100 593 2950 100 863 100 83 114 100 100

When asked to gauge the use of hallucinogens in their community, both *Statewide* and *Region VII Community Members* appeared to be the least aware of such use across all drugs covered in this section. That is, just over half (range 52.9% to 54.7%) reported they *don't know* the extent of the problem. On the other hand, both *Statewide* and *Region VII Key Informants* perceived the *adult* use of hallucinogens as a *minor to moderate* problem with 48.4 percent and 60.1 percent respectively. Regarding *youth* use, 48.6 percent of *Statewide Key Informants* and 58.2 percent of *Region VII Key Informants* perceived the problem as *minor to moderate*.

Table 2.2.1: Contribution of drug and alcohol use to CRASHES or INJURIES (such as automobile, hunting, boating, snowmobiling) COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Community **Key Informant TOTAL** Rural Frontier Urban Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % **NOT A PROBLEM** 3.4 5.0 3.5 4 5 6 5.4 15 4.6 .9 1 4.2 2 1.3 17 1 .5 145 22 2.5 55 7.7 64 8.2 141 6.0 2 2 1.8 4 .7 4.9 MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM 44 37.6 59 59.0 58 51.8 161 39 33.9 64.3 11 45.8 59 38.6 220 48.9 9 45.6 401 46.5 49.5 422 53.9 1175 49.9 128 32.3 40 48.2 61 53.5 229 38.6 1404 47.6 352 **SERIOUS PROBLEM** 62 53.0 28 28.0 33 29.5 123 37.4 73 63.5 35.7 11 45.8 89 58.2 212 44.0 382 44.3 229 32.2 207 26.4 818 34.7 256 64.6 41 49.4 49 43.0 346 58.3 1164 39.5 DON'T KNOW 2.0 6.0 8.0 14 12.5 8.8 1.7 1 4.2 3 32 6.6 55 9.8 2.5 2 2 223 6.4 70 84 10.7 209 8.9 10 2.4 1.8 14 2.4 7.6 Did not answer .9 1 .3 1 .2 3 .3 5 .7 6 .8 .6 14 .5 14 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 783 2357 396 100 100 2950 100 863 100 711 100 100 100 83 114 100 593 100

When respondents were asked to report their perception regarding the contribution of drugs and/or alcohol to injuries, 49.9 percent of the *Statewide Community Members* indicated that this was a *minor to moderate* problem, while 34.7 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community. Approximately thirty-eight percent of the *Key Informants* indicated this to be a *minor to moderate* problem, while 58.3 percent considered this to be a *serious* problem.

Region VII responses parallel those of the statewide participants. That is, 48.9 percent of the *Community Members* believed that the contribution of drug and/or alcohol use to crashes/injuries was a *minor to moderate* problem and 37.4 percent indicated this was *serious* problem. Among *Key Informants*, 58.2 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community and 38.6 percent specified this was a *minor to moderate* problem.

In sum, 86.3 percent of *Community Members* and 96.8 percent of *Key Informants* in *Region VII* perceived the use of drugs and or alcohol as a contributing factor to crashes or injuries to *some* degree.

Table 2.2.2: Co	ntribution of drug and	alco	hol u	se to	CRII	MES													
				сомі	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Info			TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT A PROBLEM	2	1.7	8	8.0	5	4.5	15	4.6	1	.9	-		2	8.3	3	2.0	18	3.7
		15	1.7	71	10.0	66	8.4	152	6.4	2	.5	3	3.6	6	5.3	11	1.9	163	5.5
	MINOR TO MODERATE PROBLEM	43	36.8	49	49.0	57	50.9	149	45.3	27	23.5	9	64.3	9	37.5	45	29.4	194	40.2
		330	38.2	318	44.7	384	49.0	1032	43.8	99	25.0	38	45.8	54	47.4	191	32.2	1223	41.5
	SERIOUS PROBLEM	64	54.7	26	26.0	28	25.0	118	35.9	84	73.0	4	28.6	12	50.0	100	65.4	218	45.2
		434	50.3	208	29.3	200	25.5	842	35.7	280	70.7	38	45.8	48	42.1	366	61.7	1208	40.9
	DON'T KNOW	8	6.8	17	17.0	21	18.8	46	14.0	3	2.6	1	7.1	1	4.2	5	3.3	51	10.6
		76	8.8	106	14.9	129	16.5	311	13.2	14	3.5	4	4.8	6	5.3	24	4.0	335	11.4
	Did not answer	_	-	-	-	1	.9	1	.3	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	1	.2
		8	.9	8	1.1	4	.5	20	.8	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	21	.7
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

When respondents were asked about the relationship between drug/alcohol use and crime, 43.8 percent of the *Statewide Community Members* indicated that this was a *minor to moderate* problem, while 35.7 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community. Approximately thirty-two percent of *Key Informants* indicated this to be a *minor to moderate* problem, while the majority (61.7%) considered this to be a *serious* problem.

In terms of *Region VII Community Members*, 45.3 percent believed that the contribution of drug/alcohol use to crime was a *minor to moderate* problem and 35.9 percent indicated this was *serious* problem. Among the *Key Informants*, 65.4 percent felt this was a *serious* problem within their community and 29.4 percent specified this was a *minor to moderate* problem.

In sum, 81.2 percent of *Community Members* and 94.8 percent of *Key Informants* in *Region VII* perceived drugs and or alcohol as a contributing factor to crime.

Section 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use

This section focused on how tolerant community members may or may not be in relation to AOD issues. Items required participants to indicate the extent to which they either agreed or disagreed with the following statements: youth consuming alcohol at parties; youth drinking and driving; youth smoking cigarettes; youth alcohol and other drug experimentation; parents offering alcoholic beverages to youth in their own homes; community acceptance of underage drinking; driving while under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs; and riding in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question. For this section, response categories were collapsed into **AGREE** (to include agree or strongly agree) and **DISAGREE** (to include disagree or strongly disagree).

				СОМ	MUNIT	Y ME	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	DALI
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity er TOTAL	Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant TAL		TAL
Statewide		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	112	95.7	97	97.0	109	97.3	318	96.7	111	96.5	14	100	24	100	149	97.4	467	96.9
		830	96.2	680	95.6	750	95.8	2260	95.9	384	97.0	83	100	112	98.2	579	95.9	2839	96.2
t is okay for youth to drink at	AGREE	4	3.4	3	3.0	2	1.8	9	2.7	4	3.5	-	-	_	_	4	2.6	13	2.7
parties as long as they don't get	Did not supplied	31	3.6	29	4.1	30	3.8	90	3.8	10	2.5	-	-	2	1.8	12	3.8	102	3.5
drunk.	Did not answer	1	.9	-	-	1	.9	2	.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	.4
		2 117	.2 100	2 100	.3 100	3 112	.4 100	7 329	.3 100	2 115	.5 100	14	100	24	100	2 153	.3 100	9 482	.3 100
	Total	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	113	96.6	95	95.0	103	92.0	311	94.5	112	97.4	14	100	23	95.8	149	97.4	460	95.4
	DIOMONEE	829	96.1	680	95.6	735	93.9	2244	95.2	386	97.5	83	100	111	97.4	580	97.8	2824	95.
Variable alternation and the article and districts and	AGREE	4	3.4	5	5.0	9	8.0	18	5.5	3	2.6	-	-	1	4.2	4	2.6	22	4.6
Youth should be able to drink as		32	3.7	28	3.9	44	5.6	104	4.4	10	2.5	-	_	3	2.6	13	2.2	117	4.0
ong as they don't drive	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
afterwards.		2	.2	3	.4	4	.5	9	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	.3
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	<i>783</i>	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	114	97.4	100	100	111	99.1	325	98.8	114	99.1	14	100	24	100	152	99.3	477	99.0
		841	97.5	699	98.3	766	97.8	2306	97.8	395	99.7	83	100	113	99.1	591	99.7	2897	98.2
	AGREE	3	2.6	-	-	1	.9	4	1.2	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.7	5	1.0
t is okay for youth to smoke	2:1	19	2.2	7	1.0	12	1.5	38	1.6	1	.3	-	-	1	.9	2	.3	40	1.4
cigarettes.	Did not answer	-	-	_		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		3	.3	5	.7	5	.6	13	.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	.4
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100

Table 3.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? **COMMUNITY MEMBERS** KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region VII Key Informant Community TOTAL Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Statewide % Ν Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % DISAGREE 93.2 88 88.0 300 91.2 91.3 23 95.8 92.8 442 109 103 92.0 105 14 100 142 91.7 797 92.4 93.2 93.2 109 93.3 663 734 93.7 2194 93.1 369 81 97.6 95.6 559 94.3 2753 AGREE 8 6.8 11 11.0 27 8.2 10 8.7 1 4.2 7.2 38 7.9 8 7.1 11 Youth who experiment with 62 7.2 45 6.3 44 5.6 151 6.4 26 6.6 2 2.4 5 4.4 33 5.6 184 6.2 alcohol or other drugs almost Did not answer 1 1.0 1 .9 2 .6 2 .4 always grow out of it. 4 .5 3 .4 5 .6 12 .5 1 .3 13 .4 1 .2 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 103 88.0 85 85.0 100 89.3 288 87.5 109 94.8 13 92.9 23 95.8 145 94.8 433 89.8 763 88.4 634 89.2 699 89.3 2096 88.9 377 95.2 78 94.0 108 94.7 563 94.9 2659 90.1 **AGREE** 14 12.0 14 14.0 11 9.8 39 11.9 6 5.2 1 7.1 1 4.2 8 5.2 47 9.8 It is okay for parents to offer their 95 11.0 72 10.1 77 9.8 244 10.4 18 4.5 5 6.0 6 5.3 29 4.9 273 9.3 youth alcoholic beverages in their Did not answer 1.0 1 .9 2 .6 2 .4 home. 5 .6 5 .7 7 17 .7 .3 .2 18 .6 1 1 Total 117 100 329 100 100 100 100 112 100 115 14 100 24 100 **153** 100 482 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 115 98.3 99 99.0 112 100 326 99.1 114 99.1 14 100 24 100 152 99.3 478 99.2 99.0 98.6 2319 395 99.7 83 100 854 701 764 97.6 98.4 114 100 592 99.8 2911 98.7 **AGREE** 1.7 1.0 3 .9 .9 .7 .8 1 1 4 It is okay for parents to offer 8 .9 .8 30 1.3 .3 .2 31 6 16 2.0 1 1 1.1 alcoholic beverages in their home Did not answer to youth (other than their own). 1 .1 .6 3 8 .3 .3 4 .4 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 24 100 **153** 100 482 100 100 396 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 100 DISAGREE 72.6 59 59.0 59.8 211 64.1 57.4 7 29.2 52.3 291 60.4 85 67 66 50.0 7 80 635 73.6 452 63.6 526 67.2 1613 68.4 228 57.6 34 41.0 45 39.5 307 51.8 1920 65.1 **AGREE** 31 26.5 39 39.0 39.3 114 34.7 49 42.6 50.0 17 70.8 73 47.7 187 38.8 In my community, drinking among 59.6 223 25.8 249 35.0 252 32.2 724 30.7 167 42.2 49 59.0 68 284 47.9 1008 34.2 Did not answer .9 teenagers is acceptable. 2 2.0 .9 1.2 .8 5 .6 1.4 5 .6 20 .8 .3 2 22 .7 10 1 .9 .3 Total 117 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

·	_			СОМ	МИМІТ	Y ME	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	- /	ormant TAL		TAL
- Statewide		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	114	97.4	99	99.0	107	95.5	320	97.3	113	98.3	14	100	24	100	151	98.7	471	97.7
	ACREE	845	97.9	701	98.6	757	96.7	2303	97.7	390	98.5	80	96.4	110	96.5	580	97.8	2883	97.7
Driving under the influence of	AGREE	13	.9 1.5	1 10	1.0 1.4	21	4.5 2.7	44	2.1 1.9	2 5	1.7 1.3	-	2.4	3	2.6	10	1.3 1.7	9 54	1.9 1.8
drugs and/or alcohol is okay.	Did not answer	13	1.5 1.7	10	1.4	21	2.7	2	.6	5	1.5	_	2.4	3	2.0	10	1.7	2	.4
drugs and/or accorder is okay.	Dia not unswer	5	.6	_	_	5	.6	10	.4	1	.3	1	1.2	1	.9	3	.5	13	.4
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	115	98.3	100	100	111	99.1	326	99.1	114	99.1	14	100	24	100	152	99.3	478	99.2
		848	98.3	705	99.2	765	97.7	2318	98.3	393	99.2	82	98.8	113	99.1	588	99.2	2906	98.5
It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle	AGREE	2	1.7	-	-	1	.9	3	.9	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.7	4	.8
with someone under the influence		14	1.6	6	.8	16	2.0	36	1.5	3	.8	1	1.2	1	.9	5	.8	41	1.4
of drugs and/or alcohol.	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. a. ago aa, 5. a.conon	Tetal	1	.1	100	-	2	.3	3	.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	452	-	3	.1
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100

The statements contained in Table 3.1 were structured in such a way that agreement would indicate a permissive attitude toward drug and alcohol related issues. The results indicate that overall, *Region VII Community Members* and *Key Informants* are not tolerant of AOD use and related activity among adults and youth. A strong majority of respondents **disagreed** with following statements and thus, demonstrate this generalization:

- It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk (96.9% *disagree-strongly disagree*)
- Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards (95.4% *disagree-strongly disagree*)
- It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes (99.0% disagree-strongly disagree)
- Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it (91.7% disagree-strongly disagree)
- It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home (89.8% disagree-strongly disagree)
- It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth other than their own (99.2% disagree-strongly disagree)
- Driving under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol is okay (97.7% disagree-strongly disagree)
- It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of drugs and/or drugs (99.2% disagree-strongly disagree)

On the other hand, when asked whether drinking among teenagers is acceptable within their community, 60.4 percent of respondents overall *disagree-strongly disagree* while 38.8 *percent agree-strongly agree*.

Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention

Willingness to support AOD prevention initiatives was the focus of this section of the survey. Participants were asked to rank the items ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Items included the following: a focus on law enforcement competency, support for educational and prevention programs, community commitment, advertising devices, tax issues related to alcohol and tobacco, the enforcement of drinking and driving laws, and laws prohibiting parents providing alcohol to their own children.

Additional items in this section assessed responses regarding support for the following statements: drinking age of 21 years; penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth; compliance checks; laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children; and DUI checkpoints. Respondents were also questioned as to whether or not they support bans on the following: liquors advertisements on TV; beer and wine advertisements on TV; and billboard alcohol advertisements. The final series of questions asked respondents if they would be willing to attend the following events if they were alcohol-free: a party; wedding dance/reception; and sporting events.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question. Within this section, response categories were collapsed into **AGREE** (to include agree or strongly agree) and **DISAGREE** (to include disagree or strongly disagree).

■ Pagion VII				сом	MUNIT	Y MEI	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMAI	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	- /	ormant TAL		TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	26	22.2	19	19.0	15	13.4	60	18.2	26	22.6	4	28.6	3	12.5	33	21.6	93	19.3
	AGREE	177	20.5	114	16.0	96	12.3	387	16.4	90	22.7	11	13.3	11	9.6	112	18.9	499	16.9
Law enforcement should be	AGREE	90 675	76.9 78.2	80 592	80.0 83.3	95 683	84.8 87.2	265 1950	80.5 82.7	89 303	77.4 76.5	10 72	71.4 86.7	21 101	87.5 88.6	120 476	78.4 80.3	385 2426	79.9 82.2
spending more time enforcing the	Did not answer	1	.9	1	1.0	003	1.8	1930	1.2	303	70.5	72	50.7	101		470	- 00.3	1	.8
minimum drinking age.	Dia not answer	11	1.3	5	.7	4	.5	20	.8	3	.8	_	_	2	1.8	5	.8	25	.8
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	8	6.8	17	17.0	14	12.5	39	11.9	22	19.1	2	14.3	5	20.8	29	19.0	68	14.1
		113	13.1	99	13.9	81	10.3	293	12.4	64	16.2	12	14.5	19	16.7	95	16.0	388	13.2
Schools need to be more active in	AGREE	109	93.2	83	83.0	98	87.5	290	88.1	92	80.0	12	85.7	19	79.2	123	80.4	413	<i>85.7</i>
dealing with alcohol, tobacco, and		746	86.4	604	85.0	697	89.0	2047	86.8	329	83.1	71	85.5	94	82.5	494	83.3	2541	86.1
,	Did not answer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.7	1	.2
nther driig hroniems							C	17	7	2	0			1	0	4	.7	21	.7
other drug problems.	Total	4 117	.5 100	8 100	1.1 100	5 112	.6 100	17 329	.7 100	3 115	.8 100	14	100	1 24	.9 100	153	100	482	100

Table 4.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region VII Key Informant TOTAL Community Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν Ν % N % Ν % Ν % % Ν % DISAGREE 6.8 6.0 6.4 11 9.6 4.2 12 7.8 6.8 8 6 6.2 21 1 33 73 8.5 53 7.5 176 7.5 25 6.3 3 5.7 210 50 6.4 6 7.2 2.6 34 7.1 **AGREE** 108 92.3 93 93.0 104 92.9 305 92.7 104 90.4 14 100 23 95.8 141 92.2 92.5 It is possible to reduce alcohol and 786 91.1 652 91.7 721 92.1 2159 91.6 371 93.7 76 91.6 111 97.4 558 94.1 2717 92.1 Did not answer .9 1 .9 3 .9 drug problems through prevention. 1.0 1 3 .6 .5 .8 12 22 .9 1.2 23 6 1.5 1 1 .2 .8 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 711 783 100 2357 396 83 2950 100 863 100 100 100 100 100 114 100 593 100 DISAGREE 6 5.1 7 7.0 6 5.4 19 5.8 6 5.2 6 3.9 25 5.2 27 58 6.7 42 5.9 40 5.1 140 5.9 6.8 3 3.6 3 2.6 33 5.6 173 5.9 Alcohol and other drug prevention **AGREE** 110 94.0 92 92.0 105 93.8 307 93.3 109 94.8 14 100 24 100 147 96.1 454 94.2 programs are a good investment 798 92.5 93.1 93.0 93.2 97.4 2748 93.2 662 731 93.4 2191 369 77 92.8 111 557 93.9 Did not answer 1 .9 1 1.0 1 .9 3 .9 3 .6 because they save lives and 7 .8 7 1.0 12 1.5 26 1.1 3 3.6 3 .5 29 1.0 money. 117 Total 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 593 100 2950 100 863 711 100 DISAGREE 8.5 17 17 6 10 17.0 15.2 44 13.4 6 5.2 3.9 50 10.4 98 104 12.8 12.8 18 4.5 7 9 7.9 5.7 336 11.4 14.6 100 302 8.4 34 11.4 The community has the **AGREE** 107 91.5 82 82.0 94 83.9 283 86.0 108 93.9 14 100 24 100 146 95.4 429 89.0 responsibility to set up prevention 759 87.9 594 83.5 671 85.7 2024 85.9 376 94.9 75 90.4 105 92.1 556 93.8 2580 87.5 programs to help people avoid Did not answer 1.0 .9 .6 .9 .7 3 .6 6 .7 13 1.8 12 1.5 31 1.3 2 .5 1 1.2 3 .5 34 1.2 alcohol and other drug problems. Total 117 100 112 329 100 115 100 100 100 100 100 100 14 100 24 153 482 100 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 DISAGREE 24 20.5 15 15.0 13 11.6 52 15.8 29 25.2 14.3 29.2 38 24.8 18.7 165 19.1 115 16.2 120 15.3 400 17.0 84 21.2 16 19.3 27 23.7 127 21.4 527 17.9 Public service announcements are **AGREE** 92 78.6 83 83.0 99 88.4 274 83.3 86 74.8 12 85.7 17 70.8 115 75.2 389 80.7 a good way to change attitudes 695 80.5 583 82.0 82.0 309 78.0 65 77.7 2394 655 83.7 1933 78.3 87 76.3 461 81.2 Did not answer .9 2 2.0 3 .9 3 .6 about alcohol, tobacco, & other 3 .3 13 1.8 8 24 1.0 3 .8 2 2.4 5 29 1.0 .8 1.0 drugs. Total 117 100 112 329 100 100 14 24 100 482 100 100 100 100 115 100 100 153 100 783 2357 396 100 2950 100 863 711 100 100 100 83 100 114 100 593 100

Table 4.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region VII TOTAL Community **Key Informant** Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν DISAGREE 42.7 38 38.0 51 42.2 48 41.7 28.6 33.3 39.2 199 41.3 50 45.5 139 4 60 370 290 40.8 36.6 26 34.2 42.9 325 41.5 985 41.8 145 31.3 39 210 35.4 1195 40.5 **AGREE** 65 55.6 62 62.0 60 53.6 187 56.8 66 57.4 10 71.4 15 62.5 91 59.5 278 57.7 Taxes on alcohol should be 485 56.2 414 58.2 446 57.0 1345 57.1 248 62.6 56 67.5 73 64.0 377 63.6 1722 58.4 2 3 .9 2 5 increased. Did not answer 1.7 .9 .9 1 4.2 1.3 1.0 8 .9 3 .8 1.0 33 1.0 12 1.5 27 1.1 1 1.2 1.8 6 1.1 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 783 396 593 2950 100 863 100 711 100 100 2357 100 100 83 100 114 100 100 DISAGREE 31 26.5 30 30.0 42 37.5 103 31.3 38 33.0 4 28.6 37.5 51 33.3 154 32.0 290 33.6 236 33.2 255 32.6 781 33.1 119 30.1 23 27.7 40 35.1 182 30.7 963 32.6 **AGREE** 84 71.8 70 70.0 69 223 67.8 77 67.0 10 71.4 14 58.3 101 66.0 324 67.2 61.6 Taxes on tobacco should be 69.2 1951 566 65.6 467 65.7 513 65.5 1546 65.6 274 59 71.1 72 63.2 405 68.3 66.1 Did not answer 2 1.7 .9 3 .9 4.2 .7 1 1 1 4 .8 increased. 7 .8 8 1.1 15 1.9 30 1.3 3 .8 1 1.2 2 1.8 6 1.0 36 1.2 117 Total 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 783 2357 100 396 100 100 593 100 2950 100 863 100 711 100 83 100 114 DISAGREE 23 25 5.2 2 7 15 74 11 9.4 23.0 22.3 59 17.9 6 14.3 29.2 9.8 15.4 79 26.4 29 593 9.2 188 229 29.2 496 21.0 34 8.6 34.9 34 29.8 97 16.4 20.1 **AGREE** 105 89.7 75.0 77.7 109 94.8 12 85.7 66.7 89.5 75 87 267 81.2 16 137 83.8 Drinking and driving laws are 777 90.0 511 71.9 535 68.3 1823 77.3 359 90.7 54 65.1 77 67.5 490 82.6 2313 78.4 enforced in my local community. Did not answer .9 2.0 .9 4.2 .7 7 .8 12 1.7 19 2.4 38 1.6 3 .8 3 2.6 6 1.0 44 1.5 Total 117 100 329 100 100 112 100 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 41 35.0 43 43.0 46 41.1 130 39.5 34 29.6 4 28.6 33.3 46 30.1 176 36.5 282 32.7 253 35.6 261 33.3 796 33.8 102 25.8 17 20.5 34 29.8 153 25.8 949 32.2 **AGREE** 74 63.2 57 57.0 65 58.0 196 59.6 81 70.4 10 71.4 16 66.7 107 69.9 303 62.9 There should be a law prohibiting 573 66.4 450 63.3 509 65.0 1532 65.0 290 73.2 66 79.5 78 68.4 434 73.2 1966 66.6 Did not answer 1.7 .9 3 .9 giving alcohol to your children 3 .6 8 .9 13 29 1.2 1.0 35 8 1.1 1.7 4 2 1.8 6 1.0 1.2 Total 117 100 100 482 100 100 100 112 100 329 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 2950 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 100

Table 4.1 demonstrates that both *Community Members* and *Key Informants* in *Region VII* agree on key issues ranging from enforcing minimum drinking violations to support for prevention programs and local initiatives. *Region VII* parallel those responses found at the *Statewide* level.

When *Region VII* respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they either agree or disagree with increasing alcohol taxes, 57.7 percent overall were in agreement while 41.3 percent were not supportive of increasing alcohol taxes. On the other hand, when *Region VII* respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they either agree or disagree with increasing taxes on tobacco, 67.2 percent overall *agree-strongly agree*, while 32 percent disagree-strongly disagree. No differences were noted between *Community Members* and *Key Informants* regarding increasing alcohol and/or tobacco taxes.

Finally, when asked whether there should be a law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children, 62.9 percent of *Region VII* respondents overall were in support of such as law while 36.5 percent were not.

Table 4.2: Do you support	the followi	ng?																	
■ Region VII				Con	nmunit	y Men	bers						Key Inf	orman	ts			OVE	RALL
Statewide		Url	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		munity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	R	ural	Fro	ntier	,	ormant TAL	TO	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	YES	101	86.3	84	84.0	103	92.0	288	87.5	103	89.6	14	100	21	87.5	138	90.2	426	88.4
		761	88.2	629	88.5	700	89.4	2090	88.7	364	91.9	80	96.4	108	94.7	552	93.1	2642	89.6
	NO	16	13.7	14	14.0	9	8.0	39	11.9	11	9.6	-	-	3	12.5	14	9.2	53	11.0
		102	11.8	76	10.7	78	10.0	256	10.9	30	7.6	3	3.6	6	5.3	39	6.6	295	10.0
Minimum legal drinking age of 21	Did not answer	-	-	2	2.0	-	-	2	.6	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.7	3	.6
		-	-	6	.8	5	.6	11	.5	2	.5	-	-	-	-	2	.3	13	.4
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	YES	114	97.4	96	96.0	108	96.4	318	96.7	111	96.5	14	100	24	100	149	97.4	467	96.9
		840	97.3	686	96.5	753	96.2	2279	96.7	388	98.0	81	97.6	111	97.4	580	97.8	2859	96.9
	NO	3	2.6	2	2.0	4	3.6	9	2.7	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	3	2.0	12	2.5
		22	2.5	21	3.0	26	3.3	69	2.9	7	1.8	2	2.4	3	2.6	12	2.0	81	2.7
Penalties for adults who provide	Did not answer	-	-	2	2.0	-	-	2	.6	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.7	3	.6
alcohol to youth		1	.1	4	.6	4	.5	9	.4	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	10	.3
,	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Table 4.2 Cont'd: Do you s	upport the	follo	wing	?															
■ Region VII				Cor	nmunit	y Men	bers	_					Key Info	orman	ts			OVE	RALL
■ Statewide		Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier		formant TAL	TO'	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	YES	113	96.6	92	92.0	106	94.6	311	94.5	110	95.7	14	100	23	95.8	147	96.1	458	95.0
		828	95.9	684	96.2	752	96.0	2264	96.1	387	97.7	82	98.8	113	99.1	582	98.1	2846	96.5
	NO	4	3.4	6	6.0	5	4.5	15	4.6	4	3.5	-	-	1	4.2	5	3.3	20	4.1
Compliance checks		33	3.8	24	3.4	25	3.2	82	3.5	8	2.0	1	1.2	1	.9	10	1.7	92	3.1
(used to identify alcohol establishments that	Did not answer	-	-	2	2.0	1	.9	3	.9	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.7	4	.8
sell alcohol to underage youth)		2	.2	3	.4	6	.8	11	.5	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	12	.4
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	YES	76	65.0	55	55.0	70	62.5	201	61.1	82	71.3	12	85.7	16	66.7	110	71.9	311	64.5
	210	592	68.6	459	64.6	523	66.8	1574	66.8	295	74.5	68	81.9	82	71.9	445	75.0	2019	68.4
	NO	38	32.5	42	42.0	40	35.7	120	36.5	31	27.0	2	14.3	8	33.3	41	26.8	161	33.4
Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to	Did not answer	262 3	30.4 2.6	236 3	33.2 3.0	251 2	32.1 1.8	749 8	31.8 2.4	96 2	24.2 1.7	15	18.1	30	26.3	141	23.8 1.3	890 10	30.2 2.1
your own children	Dia not answer	9	1.0	16	2.3	9	1.6	34	1.4	5	1.7	-	-	2	1.8	7	1.3	41	1.4
	Total	117	1.0 100	100	2.5 100	112	100	329	100	115	1.5 100	14	100	24	1.0	153	100	482	100
	70147	863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	YES	101	86.3	83	83.0	93	83.0	277	84.2	102	88.7	14	100	21	87.5	137	89.5	414	85.9
		742	86.0	627	88.2	674	86.1	2043	86.7	357	90.2	80	96.4	105	92.1	542	91.4	2585	87.6
		15	12.8	15	15.0	16	14.3	46	14.0	12	10.4	-	-	3	12.5	15	9.8	61	12.7
DUI checkpoint	NO	118	13.7	78	11.0	101	12.9	297	12.6	38	9.6	3	3.6	9	7.9	50	8.4	347	11.8
(used by law enforcement to deter or detect	Did not answer	1	.9	2	2.0	3	2.7	6	1.8	1	.9	-	-	-	-	1	.7	7	1.5
a drunk driver through the use of roadblocks or sobriety checkpoints)		3	.3	6	.8	8	1.0	17	.7	1	.3	-	-	-	-	1	.2	18	.6
or source, effectionity	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Overall, both *Statewide* and *Region VII* respondents supported a "Minimum legal drinking age of 21," "Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth," "Compliance checks," and the use of "DUI checkpoints". However, there was somewhat of a discrepancy in terms of support for "Laws prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children." That is, while 68.4 percent of the *Statewide* respondents supported this law, 30.2 percent were not in favor of this type of law. Within *Region VII*, 64.5 percent indicated that *yes* they would be in support of this type of law and 33.4 percent indicated that *no*, they would not be in support of this type of law.

Table 4.3: Do you support BANS on the following? **COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Key Informant TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % YES 61.5 65 65.0 63.4 208 63.2 79 68.7 12 85.7 15 62.5 69.3 314 65.1 72 71 106 539 62.5 472 66.4 67.0 1536 65.2 269 67.9 64 70.2 69.6 1949 525 77.1 413 66.1 43 36.8 32 32.0 40 35.7 115 35.0 36 31.3 2 14.3 8 33.3 46 30.1 161 33.4 309 35.8 233 32.8 249 31.8 791 33.6 124 31.3 19 22.9 31 27.2 174 29.3 965 32.7 Liquor advertisements on TV Did not answer 2 3 1 4.2 .7 7 1.7 3.0 .9 1.8 1.5 1.3 2.6 15 6 .8 9 30 3 .8 3 6 1.0 36 1.7 1.1 1.2 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 24 100 **153** 100 482 100 100 2357 711 783 396 83 593 100 2950 100 863 100 100 100 100 100 100 114 100 YES 70 59.8 61 61.0 69 61.6 200 60.8 78 67.8 12 85.7 14 58.3 104 68.0 304 63.1 519 60.1 458 64.4 506 64.6 1483 62.9 265 66.9 64 77.1 77 67.5 406 68.5 1889 64.0 NO 45 38.5 35 35.0 42 37.5 122 37.1 37 32.2 2 14.3 37.5 48 31.4 170 35.3 330 35.7 32.3 1021 38.2 244 34.3 267 34.1 841 128 19 22.9 33 28.9 180 30.4 34.6 Beer & wine advertisements on TV Did not answer 2 1.7 4 4.0 1 .9 7 2.1 1 4.2 .7 8 1 1.7 14 1.6 9 1.3 10 33 1.4 3 .8 4 3.5 7 1.2 40 1.4 1.3 117 482 Total 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 593 100 2950 100 100 YES 65 202 77 67.0 12 85.7 15 104 306 69 59.0 65.0 68 61.4 62.5 68.0 63.5 60.7 63.7 63.0 262 66.2 63 77 67.5 67.8 1886 526 61.0 453 505 64.5 1484 75.9 402 63.9 NO 46 39.3 32.0 38.4 36.8 33.0 33.3 32 43 121 38 2 14.3 8 48 31.4 169 35.1 323 37.4 250 35.2 266 34.0 839 35.6 131 33.1 20 24.1 33 28.9 184 31.0 1023 34.7 Billboard alcohol advertisements Did not answer 1.7 3.0 .9 1.8 4.2 1 .7 1.5 14 1.6 8 1.1 12 1.5 34 1.4 3 .8 3.5 7 1.2 41 1.4 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 **153** 100 482 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

The results presented in Table 4.3 are very consistent across questions. Over sixty-three percent (range 63.9% to 66.1%) of the *Statewide* respondents overall support bans on television and billboard forms of alcohol advertisements while one-third (32.7% to 34.7%) would not support such bans. Results from *Region VIII* respondents were also very similar regarding all three questions related to alcohol advertising. Nearly two-thirds (63.1% to 65.1%) of the respondents were in support of banning alcohol advertisements on either television or billboards while one-third (33.4% to 35.3%) were not in support of banning this type of advertising.

Table 4.4: Would you attend any of the following events if they were alcohol-free? COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII **Key Informant** TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν Ν % % % Ν % Ν Ν % Ν % Ν % N % Ν % YES 112 95.7 93 93.0 108 96.4 313 95.1 114 99.1 14 100 21 87.5 149 97.4 462 95.9 821 95.1 683 96.1 95.1 388 98.0 82 98.8 109 95.6 97.6 2820 737 94.1 2241 579 95.6 NO 5 4.3 5 5.0 3 2.7 13 4.0 1 .9 _ 2 8.3 3 2.0 16 3.3 38 4.4 25 3.5 35 4.5 98 4.2 8 2.0 1 1.2 2 1.8 11 1.9 109 3.7 **Party** 3 Did not answer 2 2.0 1 .9 .9 4.2 1 .7 .8 .5 11 18 .8 3 2.6 3 .5 21 .7 3 .4 1.4 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 24 100 **153** 100 482 100 100 711 783 2357 396 83 593 100 2950 100 863 100 100 100 100 100 100 114 100 YES 110 94.0 94 94.0 107 95.5 311 94.5 114 99.1 14 100 23 95.8 151 98.7 462 95.9 95.8 99.2 820 95.0 681 742 94.8 2243 95.2 393 81 97.6 111 97.4 585 98.7 2828 95.9 NO 6.0 3.0 4 14 4.3 .9 .7 15 3.1 3.6 .8 37 6 4.3 24 3.4 33 4.2 4.0 3 2 2.4 1 .9 1.0 100 3.4 Wedding Dance/Reception Did not answer 3 3.0 1 .9 4 1.2 1 4.2 1 .7 5 1.0 .7 6 .8 8 1.0 20 .8 2 1.8 2 .3 22 .7 Total 117 482 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 YES 94.0 97 108 315 95.7 14 23 151 110 97.0 96.4 114 99.1 100 95.8 98.7 466 96.7 825 95.6 97.5 96.4 393 82 97.4 98.8 2859 693 755 96.4 2273 99.2 98.8 111 586 96.9 NO 7 6.0 .9 .7 2.3 3 2.7 10 3.0 1 _ 1 11 34 3.9 12 1.7 18 2.3 64 2.7 3 .8 1 1.2 1 .9 5 .8 69 2.3 **Sporting Event** Did not answer 3 3.0 .9 1.2 4.2 1 1.0 .5 6 .8 10 1.3 20 .8 2 1.8 2 .3 22 .7 Total 117 100 329 100 100 100 112 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 **153** 100 482 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

The absence of alcohol at parties, wedding related activities, or sporting events would not curb attendance as indicated by an overwhelming majority of both the *Statewide* and *Region VII* participants.

Section 5: Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs

This series of questions focused on the availability of alcohol and other drugs by youth within the sampled communities. Respondents were asked to indicate the level of difficulty in which youth can obtain alcohol under the following circumstances; liquor store accessibility, bar accessibility, at home accessibility, and alcohol provided by parents and/or other family members. Regarding the accessibility of illegal substances within the community, respondents were asked to rank how difficult it is for youth to access marijuana, inhalants, cocaine, methamphetamine, and hallucinogens.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question.

Table 5.1: Please response	ond accordingly	to th	ne fo	llowi	ng qı	uesti	ons r	egaro	ding a	icces	s in y	your	comi	muni	ity.				
- 5				сомі	МИМІТ	Y MEI	MBER	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE TO	
Region VIIStatewide		Url	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier	Comn Membe	,	Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Fro	ntier	,	ormant TAL		
	Level of Difficulty	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT AT ALL	1	.9	14	14.0	10	8.9	25	7.6	6	5.2	2	14.3	2	8.3	10	6.5	35	7.3
		55	6.4	65	9.1	74	9.5	194	8.2	28	7.1	13	15.7	9	7.9	50	8.4	244	8.3
How difficult is it for youth	SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT	70 442	59.8 51.2	39 297	39.0 41.8	48 342	42.9 43.7	157 1081	47.7 45.9	74 229	64.3 57.8	6 41	42.9 49.4	14 64	58.3 56.1	94 334	61.4 56.3	251 1415	52.1 48.0
to buy beer, wine, or hard	QUITE/EXTREMELY	45	38.5	42	42.0	49	43.8	136	41.3	35	30.4	6	42.9	7	29.2	48	31.4	184	38.2
liquor at stores	2011-7-21111-11	343	39.7	326	45.9	337	43.0	1006	42.7	134	33.8	29	34.9	38	33.3	201	33.9	1207	40.9
themselves?	Did not answer	1	.9	5	5.0	5	4.5	11	3.3	-	-	-	-	1	4.2	1	.7	12	2.5
l themselves:		23	2.7	23	3.2	30	3.8	76	3.2	5	1.3	-	-	3	2.6	8	1.3	84	2.8
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	NOT AT ALL	32	27.4	42	42.0	46	41.1	120	36.5	57	49.6	4	28.6	16	66.7	77	50.3	197	40.9
		332	38.5	290	40.8	324	41.4	946	40.1	190	48.0	44	53.0	66	57.9	300	50.6	1246	42.2
	SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT	75	64.1	45	45.0	50	44.6	170	51.7	56	48.7	9	64.3	6	25.0	71	46.4	241	50.0
How difficult is it for youth	OLUTE /EVEDENGLY	439	50.9	351	49.4	359	45.8	1149	48.7	185	46.7	38	45.8	43	37.7	266	44.9	1415	48.0
to get an older person to	QUITE/EXTREMELY	10 65	8.5 7.5	48	7.0 6.8	10	8.9 8.4	27 179	8.2 7.6	2 14	1.7 3.5	1	7.1 1.2	1	4.2 1.8	4 17	2.6 2.9	31 196	6.4 6.6
buy alcohol for them?	Did not answer	05	7.5	48 6	6.0	66 6	5.4	1/9	7.6 3.6	14	3.5	_ T	1.2	1	4.2	1/	.7	196 13	2.7
	2.4	27	3.1	22	3.1	34	4.3	83	3.5	7	1.8	_	_	3	2.6	10	1.7	93	3.2
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Table 5.1 Cont'd: Please respond accordingly to the following questions regarding access in your community. COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Community **Key Informant** TOTAL Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL **Level of Difficulty** % Ν % Ν % N % Ν % Ν % % % Ν % Ν **NOT AT ALL** 2.0 2.3 1 .9 2 3.6 2.1 9 1 7.1 2 8.3 2.6 11 1 22 3 2.5 16 2.3 25 3.2 63 2.7 4 1.0 3.6 3 2.6 10 1.7 73 2.5 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 57 48.7 38 38.0 38 33.9 133 69 60.0 6 42.9 10 41.7 85 55.6 218 45.2 40.4 388 45.0 275 38.7 271 34.6 934 39.6 199 50.3 41 49.4 62 54.4 302 50.9 1236 41.9 How difficult is it for youth QUITE/EXTREMELY 59 50.4 55 55.0 65 58.0 179 54.4 45 39.1 50.0 11 45.8 63 41.2 242 50.2 to order a drink at a bar? 428 49.6 396 55.7 455 58.1 1279 54.3 187 47.2 39 47.0 45 39.5 271 45.7 1550 52.5 Did not answer 5 5 5.0 4.5 10 3.0 4.2 .7 11 2.3 25 6 1.5 3.5 91 2.9 24 3.4 32 4.1 81 3.4 10 1.7 3.1 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 NOT AT ALL 59.8 47 47.0 46.4 84 73.0 64.3 70.8 279 57.9 70 52 169 51.4 9 17 110 71.9 46.1 51.7 67.9 504 58.4 328 387 49.4 1219 269 61 73.5 78 68.4 408 68.8 1627 55.2 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 42 35.9 42 42.0 48 42.9 132 40.1 31 27.0 5 35.7 6 25.0 42 27.5 174 36.1 322 45.3 298 34.5 316 40.4 936 39.7 120 30.3 21 25.3 33 28.9 174 29.3 1110 37.6 How difficult is it for youth QUITE/EXTREMELY 5 4 4.6 4.3 4.0 6 5.4 15 15 3.1 to sneak alcohol from their 35 4.1 35 4.9 45 5.7 115 4.9 2 .5 1 1.2 3 .5 118 4.0 home or a friend's home? Did not answer 4.0 7.0 6 5.4 13 4.2 .7 14 2.9 26 26 3.7 35 4.5 87 3.7 5 1.3 3 2.6 8 1.3 95 3.2 3.0 117 100 115 100 100 482 100 Total 100 100 100 112 329 100 14 100 24 100 **153** 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 **NOT AT ALL** 9.4 17 17.0 12 10.7 12.2 7.8 5 20.8 9.8 11.4 11 40 9 1 7.1 15 55 9 77 8.9 81 11.4 95 12.1 253 10.7 34 8.6 10.8 17 14.9 60 10.1 313 10.6 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 82 70.1 50.0 77.4 64.3 16 66.7 114 74.5 317 50 71 63.4 203 61.7 89 9 65.8 533 61.8 407 57.2 449 57.3 1389 58.9 279 70.5 56 67.5 77 67.5 412 69.5 1801 61.1 How difficult is it for youth QUITE/EXTREMELY 23 19.7 27 27.0 25 22.3 75 22.8 16 13.9 4 28.6 2 8.3 22 14.4 97 20.1 to get their parents to give 220 25.5 195 27.4 206 26.3 621 26.3 75 18.9 17 20.5 15 13.2 107 18.0 728 24.7 them alcohol? Did not answer .9 4 .9 4.2 1.3 2.7 1 6 6.0 3.6 11 3.3 1 1 2 13 33 3.8 28 3.9 33 94 8 2.0 5 4.4 2.4 108 4.2 4.0 1 1.2 14 3.7 Total 117 100 100 112 100 329 100 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 115 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

Table 5.1 Cont'd: Please respond accordingly to the following questions regarding access in your community. COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Community **Key Informant TOTAL** Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Member TOTAL Statewide TOTAL **Level of Difficulty** % Ν % Ν % N % % % Ν % Ν % % Ν Ν **NOT AT ALL** 15.4 20 20.0 21.4 33.3 18.0 18 20 17.9 58 17.6 18 15.7 3 8 29 19.0 87 134 15.5 131 18.4 137 17.5 402 17.1 73 18.4 21 25.3 30 26.3 124 20.9 526 17.8 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 70.9 51 51.0 58.0 199 60.5 88 76.5 9 64.3 15 62.5 112 73.2 311 64.5 83 65 How difficult is it for youth 557 64.5 407 57.2 471 60.2 1435 60.9 276 69.7 56 67.5 73 64.0 405 68.3 1840 62.4 to get other family QUITE/EXTREMELY 16 23.0 23 18.8 2 6.5 72 13.7 23 20.5 62 8 7.0 14.3 10 14.9 members to give them 142 16.5 146 20.5 142 18.1 430 18.2 40 10.1 5 6.0 6 5.3 51 8.6 481 16.3 alcohol? Did not answer 6 6.0 3.6 3.0 1 .9 4.2 2 1.3 12 2.5 10 3.5 27 4.2 90 7 5 4.4 13 2.2 103 3.5 30 3.8 33 3.8 1.8 1 1.2 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 **153** 100 482 100 114 863 100 711 100 783 2357 100 396 100 100 100 593 100 2950 100 100 83

When *Statewide Community Members* were asked "How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them," 40.1 percent indicated it was *not at all* difficult, 48.7 percent believed that it is *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and only 7.6 percent believed this be *quite/extremely* difficult. Similarly, 50.6 percent of the *Key Informants* felt this was *not at all* difficult, 44.9 percent responded as *slightly/somewhat* difficult and only 2.9 percent believed this to be *quite/extremely* difficult.

Consistent with the above, 36.5 percent of *Region VII Community Members* believed that getting an older person to buy alcohol for youth is was *not at all* difficult, 51.7 percent indicated it was *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and only 8.2 percent believed this to be *quite/extremely* difficult. Among the *Key Informant* population, 50.3 percent of respondents indicated that it was *not at all* difficult for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them and 46.4 responded that this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

When the *Statewide Community Members* were asked "How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home," 51.7 percent reported that this was *not at all* difficult and 39.7 percent perceived this to be *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Regarding the question above, 68.8 percent of the *Key Informants* specified that this was *not at all* difficult and 29.3 percent thought this was *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Looking specifically at *Region VII*, when *Community Members* were asked the following, "How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home," 51.4 percent believed this was *not at all* difficult and 40.1 percent indicated this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Of the

Key Informant population, 71.9 percent specified that it was *not at all* difficult for youth to obtain alcohol in this manner, while 27.5 percent felt that this was only *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Table 5.2: How diffic	ult is it for youth	or a	dult	to AC	CESS	the	follo	wing	in yo	ur c	omm	unit	у.						
				сомі	иииг	Y ME	MBER:	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant TAL	TO	TAL
	Level of Difficulty	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	NOT AT ALL	43	36.8	31	31.0	21	18.8	95	28.9	70	60.9	5	35.7	9	37.5	84	54.9	179	37.1
		331	38.4	220	30.9	234	29.9	785	33.3	217	54.8	35	42.2	44	38.6	296	49.9	1081	36.6
	SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT	59	50.4	46	46.0	60	53.6	165	50.2	41	35.7	8	57.1	11	45.8	60	39.2	225	46.7
		398	46.1	326	45.9	343	43.8	1067	45.3	161	40.7	43	51.8	55	48.2	259	43.7	1326	44.9
	QUITE/EXTREMELY	8	6.8	13	13.0	18	16.1	39	11.9	2	1.7	1	7.1	2	8.3	5	3.3	44	9.1
Marijuana		62	7.2	101	14.2	120	15.3	283	12.0	8	2.0	5	6.0	8	7.0	21	3.5	304	10.3
iviarijuaria	Indicated "Do not know"	5	4.3	5	5.0	10	8.9	20	6.1	2	1.7	-	-	1	4.2	3	2.0	23	4.8
		52	6.0	41	5.8	66	8.4	159	6.7	8	2.0	-	-	5	4.4	13	2.2	172	5.8
	Did not answer	2	1.7	5	5.0	3	2.7	10	3.0	-	-	-	-	1	4.2	1	.7	11	2.3
		20	2.3	23	3.2	20	2.6	63	2.7	2	.5	-	-	2	1.8	4	.7	67	2.3
	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	NOT AT ALL	71	60.7	42	42.0	39	34.8	152	46.2	101	87.8	10	71.4	14	58.3	125	81.7	277	57.5
		506	58.6	318	44.7	325	41.5	1149	48.7	324	81.8	61	73.5	71	62.3	456	76.9	1605	54.4
	SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT	31	26.5	34	34.0	37	33.0	102	31.0	11	9.6	3	21.4	6	25.0	20	13.1	122	25.3
		231	26.8	242	34.0	249	31.8	722	30.6	57	14.4	18	21.7	28	24.6	103	17.4	825	28.0
	QUITE/EXTREMELY	6	5.1	12	12.0	23	20.5	41	12.5	1	.9	1	7.1	2	8.3	4	2.6	45	9.3
Inhalants		48	5.6	81	11.4	113	14.4	242	10.3	5	1.3	4	4.8	7	6.1	16	2.7	258	8.7
(Glue, paint, aerosols, solvents, etc.)	Indicated "Do not know"	6	5.1	5	5.0	9	8.0	20	6.1	2	1.7	-	-	1	4.2	3	2.0	23	4.8
(C.ac, paint, acrosois, solvents, etc.)	Did not manual	54	6.3	41	5.8	70	8.9	165	7.0	7	1.8	-	-	5	4.4	12	2.0	177	6.0
	Did not answer	3	2.6	7	7.0	4	3.6	14	4.3	-	-	-	-	1	4.2	1	.7	15	3.1
	Tatal	24	2.8	29	4.1	26	3.3	79	3.4	3	.8	14	100	3	2.6	6	1.0	85	2.9
	Total	117 863	100	100	100 100	112	100 100	329	100	115	100	14 83	100 100	24	100	153	100 100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Table 5.2 Cont'd: How difficult is it for youth or adult to ACCESS the following in your community. COMMUNITY MEMBERS **KEY INFORMANTS OVERALL** Region VII Community **Key Informant TOTAL** Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Statewide **Level of Difficulty** Ν % Ν % % % % N % % Ν % Ν % Ν Ν **NOT AT ALL** 16 13.7 13 13.0 8 7.1 37 11.2 19 16.5 7.1 3 12.5 23 15.0 60 12.4 123 14.3 77 10.8 73 9.3 273 11.6 62 15.7 8 9.6 7.9 79 13.3 352 11.9 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 66 56.4 42.0 42.0 78 57.1 37.5 250 51.9 42 47 155 47.1 67.8 8 9 95 62.1 458 334 47.0 41.3 263 60 52.6 63.1 1489 50.5 53.1 323 1115 47.3 66.4 51 61.4 374 QUITE/EXTREMELY 26 22.2 31 31.0 43 38.4 100 30.4 15 13.0 5 35.7 10 41.7 30 19.6 130 27.0 189 21.9 217 30.5 275 35.1 681 28.9 55 13.9 22 26.5 35 30.7 112 18.9 793 26.9 Indicated "Do not know" 5.1 5 5.0 11 9.8 22 6.7 1.7 1 4.2 3 2.0 25 5.2 Cocaine 62 7.2 45 6.3 79 10.1 186 7.9 11 2.8 1 1.2 7 6.1 19 3.2 205 6.9 3 Did not answer 3 2.6 9 9.0 2.7 15 4.6 .9 4.2 2 1.3 17 3.5 31 3.6 5.3 33 102 4.3 5 1.3 1.2 3 2.6 9 1.5 111 3.8 38 4.2 1 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 20 12.5 36.5 2 29.2 33.3 23.9 **NOT AT ALL** 30 25.6 20.0 14 64 19.5 42 14.3 7 51 115 748 252 29.2 161 22.6 154 19.7 567 24.1 137 34.6 18 21.7 26 22.8 181 30.5 25.4 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 45.8 68 58.1 51 51.0 52 46.4 171 52.0 66 57.4 10 71.4 11 87 56.9 258 53.5 442 347 48.8 358 45.7 48.7 225 56.8 54 67 58.8 58.3 1493 50.6 51.2 1147 65.1 346 QUITE/EXTREMELY 2 11 9.4 17 17.0 32 28.6 18.2 5 4.3 14.3 16.7 11 7.2 71 14.7 10.4 125 17.6 172 22.0 387 16.4 24 6.1 10 12.0 14 12.3 48 8.1 435 14.7 Indicated "Do not know" Methamphetamine 5 4.3 5 5.0 10 8.9 20 6.1 2 1.7 1 4.2 3 2.0 23 4.8 55 72 7.3 8 2.0 2.2 6.4 44 6.2 9.2 171 4.4 13 184 6.2 Did not answer 3 2.6 7.0 3.6 14 4.3 4.2 1 .7 15 3.1 1.2 24 2.8 34 4.8 27 3.4 85 3.6 2 .5 1 2 1.8 5 .8 90 3.1 Total 117 100 100 482 100 100 100 112 100 **329** 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 114 100 100 2950 100 100 593 **NOT AT ALL** 18 15.4 12 12.0 5.4 10.9 18 15.7 7.1 16.7 23 15.0 12.2 6 36 1 59 136 79 11.1 70 59 6 7.2 7.9 12.5 359 15.8 8.9 285 12.1 14.9 74 12.2 SLIGHTLY/SOMEWHAT 45.8 64.7 257 67 57.3 47 47.0 44 39.3 158 48.0 80 69.6 8 57.1 11 53.3 463 53.7 328 46.1 309 39.5 1100 46.7 254 64.1 51 61.4 58 50.9 363 61.2 1463 49.6 QUITE/EXTREMELY 19.7 5 29.2 23 27 27.0 47 42.0 97 29.5 15 13.0 35.7 27 17.6 124 25.7 170 19.7 222 31.2 288 36.8 680 28.9 67 16.9 24 28.9 37 32.5 128 21.6 808 27.4 Hallucinogens Indicated "Do not know" 6 5.1 6 6.0 11 9.8 23 7.0 2 1.7 4.2 3 2.0 26 5.4 1 (LSD, Shrooms, PCP, etc.) 64 7.4 46 6.5 80 10.2 190 8.1 12 3.0 1 1.2 7 6.1 20 3.4 210 7.1 3 .7 Did not answer 2.6 8 8.0 4 3.6 15 4.6 1 4.2 1 16 3.3 30 3.5 36 5.1 36 4.6 102 4.3 4 1.0 1 1.2 3 2.6 8 1.3 110 3.7 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 100 396 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100

One-third (33.3%) of *Statewide Community Members* perceived marijuana as *not at all* difficult for *adults/youth* to obtain in their community, whereas 45.3 percent felt access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Among the *Key Informants*, almost half (49.9%) perceived marijuana was *not at all* difficult for adults/youth to access, while 43.7 percent felt it was *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Within *Region VII*, 28.9 percent of *Community Member* respondents perceived access to marijuana as *not at all* difficult for *adults/youth* to obtain, 50.2 percent felt access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and only 11.9 percent perceived this to be *quite/extremely* difficult. Over half (54.9%) of *Key Informant* respondents perceived access to marijuana as *not at all* difficult for *adults/youth* to obtain, while 39.2 percent perceived access to marijuana as *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

One quarter (24.1%) of *Statewide Community Member* respondents perceived access to methamphetamine as *not at all* difficult, whereas 48.7 percent believed that access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. Among the *Key Informants*, 30.5 percent believed that methamphetamine was *not at all* difficult to access, over half (58.3%) believed that access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult, and 8.1 percent responded that methamphetamine was *quite/extremely* difficult to access.

Within *Region VII*, 19.5 percent of *Community Member* respondents perceived methamphetamine as *not at all* difficult to access, while 52 percent believed that access was *slightly/somewhat* difficult. One third (33.3%) of *Key Informant* respondents indicated that access to methamphetamine was *not at all* difficult, whereas 56.9 percent believed that accessing methamphetamine was *slightly/somewhat* difficult.

Respondents perceived access to cocaine and hallucinogens in a similar way (i.e., *slightly/somewhat* difficult to access) although one-quarter thought access would be *quite/extremely* difficult. Overall, inhalants were perceived as the most accessible to *youth* and *adults* by 54.4 percent of *Statewide* respondents and 57.5 percent of *Region VII* respondents.

Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment

Lastly, participants were asked to rank the community's overall commitment to addressing the perceived problems of youth alcohol and substance use. The questions focused on the importance of preventing alcohol and drug use among youth, concern for the availability of sufficient prevention programs, the most effective method for achieving drug free youth, through educating youth, parents, or community members, the presence of leaders within the community willing to address these issues, where to seek assistance within the community regarding these matters, the presence of a community action plan, and primary sources of information regarding crime.

NOTE: It should be kept in mind that the following tables are **NOT comparative** in nature. In other words, though presented side-by-side, the responses of the community members and those of the key informants are NOT being compared. The tables simply present a descriptive account about how each group responded to each question. Within this section, response categories were collapsed into **AGREE** (to include agree or strongly agree) and **DISAGREE** (to include disagree or strongly disagree).

Table 6.1: To what extent	do you AGI	REE c	or DIS	SAGR	EE w	ith th	ne fo	llowi	ng sta	atem	ents	?							
■ Region VII				сомі	иииг	ΓΥ ΜΕΙ	MBERS	5				KE	Y INFO	RMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
■ Statewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ral	Froi	ntier		munity er TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rι	ıral	Froi	ntier	Key Inf	ormant TAL	TO [*]	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	4	3.4	-	-	4	3.6	8	2.4	7	6.1	1	7.1	2	8.3	10	6.5	18	3.7
	4.0055	23	2.7	15	2.1	28	3.6	66	2.8	12	3.0	2	2.4	4	3.5	18	3.0	84	2.8
Preventing alcohol and other	AGREE	113	96.6	99	99.0	107	95.5	319	97.0	108	93.9	13	92.9	21	87.5	142	92.8	461	95.6
drug use among youth is	Did not answer	836	96.9	693	97.5 1.0	748	95.5 .9	2277	96.6	384	97.0	81	97.6	108	94.7 4.2	573 1	96.6	2850 3	96.6 .6
important.	Dia not answer	4	.5	3	.4	7	.9	14	.6	_	_	_	_	2	1.8	2	.3	16	.5
·	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100
	DISAGREE	22	18.8	23	23.0	20	17.9	65	19.8	26	22.6	3	21.4	6	25.0	35	22.9	100	20.7
I am concerned about whether		182	21.1	139	19.5	134	17.1	455	19.3	80	20.2	16	19.3	20	17.5	116	19.6	571	19.4
	AGREE	94	80.3	75	75.0	92	82.1	261	79.3	87	75.7	11	78.6	17	70.8	115	75.2	<i>376</i>	78.0
my community has sufficient	Did ast sussian	668	77.4	565	79.5	636	81.2	1869	79.3	312	78.8	67	80.7	92	80.7	471	79.4	2340	79.3
AOD abuse prevention	Did not answer	12	. <mark>9</mark> 1.5	2	2.0 1.0	13	- 1.7	3 33	.9 1.4	2 4	1.7 1.0	-	-	1 2	4.2 1.8	3 6	2.0 1.0	6 39	1.2 1.3
programs.	Total	13 117	1.5 100	100	1.0 100	112	1.7 100	329	1.4 100	4 115	1.0 100	14	100	24	1.8	153	1.0 100	482	1.3
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

Table 6.1 Cont'd: To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements? COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region VII Kev Informant TOTAL Community Statewide Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν Ν % % Ν % Ν % N % % Ν DISAGREE 6.8 10 10.0 11 9.8 29 8.8 22 19.1 1 7.1 4 16.7 27 17.6 56 11.6 7.9 59 8.3 75 9.6 202 8.6 55 13.9 10 12.0 11 9.6 76 12.8 278 9.4 AGREE 109 93.2 88 88.0 99 88.4 296 90.0 92 80.0 13 92.9 19 79.2 124 81.0 420 87.1 Alcohol and drug free youth can 784 90.8 647 91.0 698 89.1 2129 90.3 338 85.4 72 86.7 101 88.6 511 86.2 2640 89.5 best be achieved by educating Did not answer 2 2 1.8 4 1.2 1 .9 4.2 2 1.3 2.0 1.2 vouth themselves. .7 10 1.3 26 1.1 3 .8 1 1.2 1.8 1.0 32 11 1.3 5 6 1.1 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 482 100 863 711 783 100 2357 396 100 83 114 100 593 2950 100 100 100 100 100 100 DISAGREE 13 11.1 9 9.0 12 10.7 34 10.3 12 10.4 1 7.1 2 8.3 15 9.8 10.2 10.1 10.1 4 273 91 10.5 53 7.5 79 223 9.5 40 4.8 6 5.3 50 8.4 9.3 AGREE 427 104 88.9 89 89.0 97 86.6 290 88.1 103 89.6 13 92.9 21 87.5 137 89.5 88.6 Alcohol and drug free youth can 765 88.6 91.4 88.1 89.3 89.9 93.0 2645 89.7 650 690 2105 356 78 94.0 106 540 91.1 best be achieved by educating Did not answer 2 2.0 3 2.7 1.5 1 4.2 .7 6 1.2 1 parents. 7 .8 8 1.1 14 1.8 29 1.2 1 1.2 2 1.8 3 .5 32 1.1 Total 117 100 329 100 482 100 100 112 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 153 100 100 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 863 DISAGREE 15 12.8 14 11 40 12.2 7.0 4 12 52 14.0 9.8 8 16.7 7.8 10.8 88 10.2 73 10.3 76 9.7 10.1 29 7.3 4 10 8.8 280 9.5 237 4.8 43 7.3 AGREE 93.0 102 87.2 84.0 101 90.2 287 87.2 107 14 100 19 79.2 140 91.5 427 88.6 Alcohol and drug free youth can 84 767 88.9 630 88.6 692 88.4 2089 88.6 365 92.2 78 94.0 101 88.6 544 91.7 2633 89.3 best be achieved by educating Did not answer 2.0 .6 4.2 3 .6 the community. 8 .9 8 1.1 15 1.9 31 1.3 2 .5 1 1.2 3 2.6 6 1.0 37 1.3 Total 117 100 100 112 329 100 115 100 100 100 100 482 100 100 14 24 153 100 863 100 711 100 783 100 2357 100 396 100 83 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 DISAGREE 13 11.1 16 16.0 21 18.8 50 15.2 8 7.0 2 14.3 20.8 15 9.8 13.5 75 8.7 134 18.8 137 17.5 346 14.7 26 6.6 17 20.5 18 15.8 61 10.3 407 13.8 There are leaders in my AGREE 102 87.2 80 80.0 88 78.6 270 82.1 106 92.2 12 85.7 18 75.0 136 88.9 406 84.2 community who are interested 765 77.2 368 92.9 66 79.5 93 2457 83.3 88.6 549 616 78.7 1930 81.9 81.6 527 88.9 Did not answer 1.7 4 4.0 3 2.7 2.7 1 .9 4.2 2 1.3 11 2.3 in reducing access & abuse of 23 2.7 28 3.9 30 3.8 81 3.4 2 .5 3 2.6 5 .8 86 2.9 alcohol and other drugs. Total 117 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 14 100 24 100 100 482 100 100 153 100 100 100 783 2357 396 100 593 2950 863 711 100 100 83 100 114 100 100

Table 6.1 Cont'd: To what	extent do y	ou A	AGRE	E or I	DISAC	GREE	with	the	follov	ving	state	emer	nts?						
■ Region VII				сомі	иииі	Y ME	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
■ Statewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Uri	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier	,	ormant TAL	тот	ΓAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	DISAGREE	22	18.8	16	16.0	13	11.6	51	15.5	4	3.5	-	-	1	4.2	5	3.3	<i>56</i>	11.6
		132	15.3	137	19.3	159	20.3	428	18.2	18	4.5	4	4.8	11	9.6	33	5.6	461	15.6
I know who to go to if I need	AGREE	95	81.2	82	82.0	97	86.6	274	83.3	111	96.5	14	100	22	91.7	147	96.1	421	<i>87.3</i>
help for myself or family		716	83.0	559	78.6	607	77.5	1882	79.8	378	95.5	79	95.2	101	88.6	558	94.1	2440	82.7
members(s) who are abusing	Did not answer	-	-	2	2.0	2	1.8	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	1	4.2	1	.7	5	1.0
alcohol and other drugs.		15	1.7	15	2.1	17	2.2	47	2.0	-	-	-	-	2	1.8	2	.3	49	1.7
alconor and other drugs.	Total	117	100	100	100	112	100	329	100	115	100	14	100	24	100	153	100	482	100
		863	100	711	100	783	100	2357	100	396	100	83	100	114	100	593	100	2950	100

When asked whether *Statewide* and *Region VII* respondents considered alcohol and other drug use prevention among youth as important, a strong majority (96.6% and 95.6% respectively) *agree-strongly agree*. However, when asked whether they are concerned about the sufficiency of their community's AOD abuse prevention programs, 79.3 percent of *Statewide* respondents and 78 percent *Region VII* respondents indicated that they were concerned. When asked about community leaders interested in reducing access and abuse of alcohol and drugs, 84.2 percent of *Region VII* respondents *agree-strongly agree*. Similarly, when asked if they know who to go to if they need help for themselves or family with alcohol and other drug issues, 87.3 percent of *Region VII* agree-strongly agree.

Finally, at least eighty-seven percent of the *Statewide* and *Region VII* respondents agreed that alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by: 1) educating youth themselves; 2) educating parents; and 3) educating the community.

Table 6.2: Our comn	nunity has an action	on pl	an fo	r de	aling	with	issu	es sui	roun	ding	subs	tano	e abı	use					
				сомі	MUNIT	Y ME	MBERS	S				KE	Y INFO	DRMA	NTS			OVE	RALL
Region VIIStatewide		Uri	ban	Ru	ıral	Froi	ntier		nunity r TOTAL	Ur	ban	Rı	ıral	Froi	ntier		formant TAL	то	TAL
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Yes	41 321	35.0 37.2	16 93	16.0 13.1	15 108	13.4 13.8	72 522	21.9 22.1	50 170	43.5 42.9	5 20	35.7 24.1	2 23	8.3 20.2	57 213	37.3 35.9	129 735	26.8 24.9
	No	6 39	5.1 4.5	17 161	17.0 22.6	27 164	24.1 20.9	50 364	15.2 15.4	11 42	9.6 10.6	4 36	28.6 43.4	9 37	37.5 32.5	24 115	15.7 19.4	74 479	15.4 16.2
	Don't know	69 481	59.0 55.7	63 440	63.0 61.9	65 495	58.0 63.2	197 1416	59.9 60.1	53 181	46.1 45.7	5 23	35.7 27.7	13 53	54.2 46.5	71 257	46.4 43.3	268 1673	55.6 56.7
	Did not answer	1 22	. <mark>9</mark> 2.5	4 17	4.0 2.4	5 16	4.5 2.0	10 55	3.0 2.3	1 3	. <mark>9</mark> .8	- 4	4.8	- 1	- .9	1 8	.7 1.3	11 63	2.3 2.1
	Total	117 863	100 100	100 711	100 100	112 783	100 100	329 2357	100 100	115 396	100 100	14 83	100 100	24 114	100 100	153 593	100 100	482 2950	100 100

For *Statewide Community Members*, 22.1 percent responded that *yes* they do have a community action plan in place, 15.4 percent specified that *no*, there was not a plan in place, and 60.1 percent of respondents *don't know* if their community had any action plan in place. For the *Key Informant* respondents, 35.9 percent answered that *yes* their community does have an action plan to deal with alcohol/substance abuse issues, 19.4 percent indicated that *no* the community has no plan in place, and 43.3 percent *don't know* whether there was an action plan in their community.


Among *Region VII Community Members*, the majority (59.9%) *don't know* if their community had any action plan in place. While 37.3 percent of *Key Informant* respondents indicated that *yes* there was a plan in place to deal with alcohol/substance abuse issues within their community, 46.4 percent specified they *don't know* of any community action plan in place.

Table 6.3: From which one source do you get most of your news about crime? COMMUNITY MEMBERS KEY INFORMANTS **OVERALL** Region VII **Key Informant** TOTAL Community Urban Rural Frontier Urban Rural Frontier Statewide Member TOTAL TOTAL Ν % N N % N % % % Ν % N % % Ν % Ν Ν 37.6 45 45.0 35 31.2 124 37.7 49 42.6 5 35.7 9 37.5 63 41.2 187 38.8 **Television** 307 35.6 302 42.5 170 42.9 1153 287 36.7 896 38.0 42.2 45.6 257 43.3 39.1 32 27.4 19 19.0 22 19.6 73 22.2 36 31.3 28.6 29.2 47 30.7 120 24.9 **Newspapers** 280 32.4 150 21.1 177 22.6 607 25.8 119 30.1 21 25.3 26 22.8 28.0 773 26.2 166 5 5.0 3 2.7 1 4.2 6.5 22 4.6 **Co-workers** 3.4 12 3.6 8 7.0 7.1 10 1.7 19 2.7 35 4.5 69 2.9 17 4.3 2.4 6.1 26 4.4 95 3.2 2.6 4.0 7.1 15 4.6 **15** 3.1 3 8 **Friends** 5.7 .3 2.2 29 4.1 45 93 3.9 1 4.4 6 1.0 3.4 2 3 2 1.3 5 **Neighbors** 1.0 1.8 .9 7.1 4.2 1.0 .5 10 1.4 10 1.3 24 1.0 1 1.2 1.8 4 .7 28 .9 24 20.5 16 16.0 28 25.0 68 20.7 13 11.3 2 14.3 5 20.8 20 13.1 18.3 Other (combination of above to include internet) 172 19.9 146 20.5 151 19.3 469 19.9 61 15.4 19 22.9 19 16.7 99 16.7 568 19.3 Do not know .3 .2 .5 2 .3 5 .5 11 .4 .6 11 Did not answer 10 8.5 10 10.0 13 11.6 33 10.0 9 7.8 1 7.1 4.2 11 7.2 9.1 62 7.2 7.5 73 9.3 188 8.0 27 6.8 6.0 2.6 35 5.9 223 7.6 Total 117 100 100 100 112 100 329 100 115 100 100 24 100 **153** 100 482 863 100 711 783 2357 100 396 100 100 114 100 593 100 2950 100 100 100 83

The top two media sources accessed for information regarding crime for *Statewide* respondents was "*Television*" (39.1%) followed by "*Newspapers*" (26.2%). Likewise, the most common sources cited by *Region VII Community Members* and *Key Informants* were "*Television*" (38.8%) and "*Newspapers*" (24.9%).

References

- Madison County Council on Alcoholism and Substance Abuse, Inc., BRiDGES. *Youth Prevention Programs*. Retrieved June 16, 2008, from http://www.bridges-mccasa.org/ypp.html.
- Minnesota Institute of Public Health. (1999). Community Readiness Survey. Retrieved from www.miph.org.
- Nesharim K. (2006). The Negative Influence of the Media on Public Opinion. Retrieved July 8, 2008, from http://www.wz.nrw.de.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies. *State Estimates of Substance Use from the 2004-2005 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health*. OAS Series #H-31, DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 07-4235, Rockville, MD, 2007 [Electronic Version].
- Bureau of the Census: *State and County QuickFacts.* (2008, July 25). Retrieved August 12, 2008, from http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/38000.html.
- Youth Risk Behavior Survey. (2007). North Dakota High School (Grades 7-8) YRBS: Summary of the Statewide, Regional, and Urban vs. Rural Results. Retrieved June 16, 2008, from http://www.dpi.state.nd.us/health/YRBS/index.shtm.
- Youth Risk Behavior Survey. (2007). North Dakota High School (Grades 9-12) YRBS: Summary of the Statewide, Regional, and Urban vs. Rural Results. Retrieved June 16, 2008, from http://www.dpi.state.nd.us/health/YRBS/index.shtm.





Sample Sizes for Prevention Readiness Survey (based on pop 18+, 2006), 10% margin of error *inflated by 10x's

		85%		90%	95%
Region 1					
Frontier	Divide/McKenzie	520	600	680	960
Rural	Williams	520	600	680	960
Urban	Williams (Williston)	520	600	680	960
Region 2					
Frontier	Bottineau/Burke/McHenry/Mountrail/Renville	520	600	680	960
Rural	Pierce/Ward	520	600	680	960
Urban	Ward (Minot)	520	600	680	960
Region 3					
Frontier	Benson/Cavalier/Eddy/Towner	520	600	680	960
Rural	Ramsey/Rolette	520	600	680	960
Urban	Ramsey (Devils Lake)	520	600	680	960
Region 4					
Frontier	Nelson	520	600	680	960
Rural	Grand Forks/Pembina/Walsh	520	600	680	960
Urban	Grand Forks (Grand Forks)	520	600	680	960
Region 5					
Frontier	Sargent/Steele	520	600	680	960
Rural	Cass/Ransom/Richland/Traill	520	600	680	960
Urban	Cass (Fargo/West Fargo)/Richland (Wahpeton)	520	600	680	960
Region 6					
Frontier	Dickey/Foster/Griggs/Lamoure/Logan/McIntosh/Wells	520	600	680	960
Rural	Barnes/Stutsman	520	600	680	960
Urban	Barnes (Valley City)/Stutsman (Jamestown)	520	600	680	960
Region 7					
Frontier	Emmons/Grant/Kidder/McLean/Oliver/Sheridan/Sioux	520	600	680	960
Rural	Burleigh/Mercer/Morton	520	600	680	960
Urban	Burleigh (Bismarck)/Morton (Mandan)	520	600	680	960
Region 8					
Frontier	Adams/Billings/Bowman/Dunn/Golden Valley/Hettinger/Slope	520	600	680	960
Rural	Stark	520	600	680	960
Urban	Stark (Dickinson)	520	600	680	960
	Total Sample	12480	14400	16320	23040



Dear Community Member:

Congratulations! You have been selected to participate in the enclosed survey designed to gauge your community's perceptions of alcohol and other drug use. As our thank-you for completing this survey, you have the opportunity to enter your name in a drawing to win one of several **pre-paid gas cards worth up to \$500**.

The Rural Crime and Justice Center (RCJC), along with the North Dakota Department of Human Services, has designed this survey to discuss alcohol and other drug (AOD) use in your community. With your help, we can identify perceptions of the AOD problem, attitudes toward AOD use, support for AOD prevention, adolescent access to AOD, and level of commitment within *your* community to address these issues.

It will only take 5-10 minutes to complete this brief survey. Your responses are confidential and anonymous, and will be used to develop effective responses to AOD issues at the community level. Following completion, please return the survey in the enclosed pre-paid return envelope by **June 15, 2008**. Results of the survey will be available to the public this fall. If you would like to participate in the drawing for a pre-paid gas card, please refer to the enclosed postcard for more information.


Your support and participation are greatly appreciated. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 1-800-777-0750, ext. 3441.

Sincerely,

Trista Ortynsky Project Coordinator

Rural Crime and Justice Center

Spista artynsky





Alcohol and Other Drugs(AOD) in North Dakota:





A Community Readiness Survey to Gauge perceptions of Alcohol and Other Drug Use



north dakota department of human services

Mental Health & Substance Abuse Services

John Hoeven, Govenor Carol K. Olson, Executive Director

1237 West Divide Ave Suite 1C, Bismarck, ND 58501-1208

Toll Free 1-800-755-2719 Fax (701) 328-8969 (701) 328-8920

www.state.nd.us/humanservices

Prevention Resource Center 1237 West Divide Ave Suite 1D

Toll Free 1-800-642-6744 (701) 328-8943

Please refer to the following information regarding your participation in this survey:

The RCJC follows the guidelines stated in the United States Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 46.116. Any information gathered in this survey is viewed as confidential. The RCJC will follow all necessary protocol to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of all information supplied. Each survey will remain anonymous and identifiable only to the selected researchers assigned to this project. All information obtained will be restricted in a password protected database. Hard copies are stored in a protected file in a secure location. Computer and hard copy files pertaining to this data collection device will be destroyed three years following the completion of the project. Such procedures are necessary to guarantee your anonymity and to decrease any risk to your involvement as a contributor.

This survey has been approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Minot State University. For additional information regarding IRB regulations, please contact Dr. Margi Coxwell, IRB Chair, at (701) 858-3125. Please understand that completion of this survey constitutes that you fully comprehend the information contained in this letter, you provide consent to partake in completion of this survey, you fully understand that all the information you provide in this survey will be kept confidential, and you have the choice to withdraw from participation in this survey at any time.

INTRODUCTION: North Dakota's Department of Human Services has designed a Community Readiness survey to evaluate alcohol, and other drug (AOD) use. This survey is intended to measure **perceptions** about AOD use as well as current prevention efforts. The results will be used to help identify how prepared communities are to take action on AOD, and utilized by prevention specialists and community members to decide the best strategies for North Dakota communities.

Keeping "your community" in mind, please indicate your choice by placing a in the appropriate box. For open-ended questions, please write your thoughts in the space provided.

Section 1: Demographics

This information will be used for statistical purposes only. Please mark your answers in the appropriate boxes.

	your age? □ 18-20 □ 21-24 □ 25-44 □ 45-64 □ 65 and Over
1.2 Sex:	
	□ Male
	□ Female
1.3 Race	e (please mark all that apply):
	☐ Caucasian
	☐ Black or African American
	☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
	□ Asian
	☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
	☐ Other (please specify):
1.4 Are	you of Hispanic Origin?
	□ Yes
	□ No
1.5 Emp	oloyment Status:
·	□ Full Time
	☐ Part Time
	☐ Full Time with Second Job
	□ Not Employed – Looking
	□ Not Employed − Not looking (i.e. retired, have a disability, stay-at-home spouse/parent)

	rently working, check category of <i>last</i> employment):
☐ Agriculture	
☐ Construction/Mining	
☐ Manufacturing	
☐ Transportation/Utilities	
☐ Wholesale	
☐ Retail	
☐ Finance and Real Estate	
☐ Business and Repair Serv	vices
☐ Professional	
Government	
☐ Leisure and Hospitality	
☐ Education	
Other	_
70	
.7 Community Volunteering:	
☐ Church	
(Please specify:)
☐ Civic	
)
(Please specify.	
.8 City of Residence:	
.9 County of Residence:	
is country of Residence.	
.10 Number of Children in Your H	

Section 2: Community Perception of an Alcohol & Other Drug (AOD) Problem

2.1 How much of a problem do you perceive the use of the following substances to be in your community?

	Not a Problem	Minor Problem	Moderate Problem	Serious Problem	Don't Know
Alcohol					
Adults					
Youth					
Tobacco					
Adults					
Youth					
Marijuana					_
Adults					
Youth					
Inhalants (glue, paint, aerosols,	, solvents, etc.)				
Adults					
Youth					
Cocaine					
Adults					
Youth					
Methamphetamine					_
Adults					
Youth					
Over-the-Counter Drugs (ab	ouse of cough med	dicines, Dramamine	, diet pills, sleeping p	oills, etc.)	_
Adults					
Youth					
Prescription Drugs (abuse of)					_
Adults					
Youth					
Hallucinogens (LSD, Shrooms,	PCP, etc.)				
Adults					
Youth					

	Not a Problem	Minor Problem	Moderate Problem	Serious Problem	Don't Know
Contribution of drug and alcohol use to crashes or injuries (such as automobile, hunting, boating, snowmobiling).					
Contribution of drug and alcohol use to					

Section 3: Permissiveness of Attitudes Toward AOD Use

crimes.

3.1 To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements?

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
It is okay for youth to drink at parties as long as they don't get drunk.				
Youth should be able to drink as long as they don't drive afterwards.				
It is okay for youth to smoke cigarettes.				
Youth who experiment with alcohol or other drugs almost always grow out of it.				
It is okay for parents to offer their youth alcoholic beverages in their home.				
It is okay for parents to offer alcoholic beverages in their home to youth (other than their own children).				
In my community, drinking among teenagers is acceptable.				
Driving under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol is okay.				
It is okay to ride in a motor vehicle with someone under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol.				

Section 4: Community Support for AOD Prevention

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Law enforcement should be spending more time enforcing the minimum drinking age.				
Schools need to be more active in dealing with alcohol, tobacco, and other drug problems.				
It is possible to reduce alcohol and drug problems through prevention.				
Alcohol and other drug prevention programs are a good investment because they save lives and money.				
The community has the responsibility to set up prevention programs to help people avoid alcohol and other drug problems.				
Public service announcements are a good way to change attitudes about alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use.				
Taxes on alcohol should be increased.				
Taxes on tobacco should be increased.				
Drinking and driving laws are enforced in my local community.				
There should be a law prohibiting giving alcohol to your own children.				
2 Please check YES or NO for the following sets of questions Do you support the following?			Yes	No
Minimum legal drinking age of 21				
Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth				
Penalties for adults who provide alcohol to youth Compliance checks (used to identify alcohol establishment underage youth)	ts that sell alcoho	Ito		
Compliance checks (used to identify alcohol establishment		Ito		

Liquor advertisements on TV Beer and wine advertisements on TV					
Billboard alcohol advertisements					
ould you attend any of the following ev	ents if they w	ere alcoho	ol-free?		
				Yes	No
Party					
Wedding Dance/Reception					
Sporting Event					
			ess in your co	ommunity.	
	g questions re	garding acc	Somewhat	Quite	
ection 5: Access to Alcohol and Control of the following the difficult is it for youth to buy beer.	g questions re Not at All Difficult	garding acc Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Extremel Difficult
	g questions re	garding acc	Somewhat	Quite	
How difficult is it for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older	g questions reg	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Difficult
How difficult is it for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them?	g questions re Not at All Difficult	garding acc Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Difficult
How difficult is it for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them? How difficult is it for youth to order a drink	g questions reg	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Difficult
How difficult is it for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them? How difficult is it for youth to order a drink at a bar?	Not at All Difficult	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Difficult
How difficult is it for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them? How difficult is it for youth to order a drink at a bar? How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol	Not at All Difficult	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Difficult
How difficult is it for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older person to buy alcohol for them? How difficult is it for youth to order a drink at a bar? How difficult is it for youth to sneak alcohol from their home or a friend's home? How difficult is it for youth to get their	Not at All Difficult	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Difficult
How difficult is it for youth to buy beer, wine, or hard liquor at stores themselves? How difficult is it for youth to get an older	Not at All Difficult	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Difficult

5.2 How difficult is it for a youth or adult to access the following in your community?

	Not at All Difficult	Slightly Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Quite Difficult	Extremely Difficult
Marijuana					
Inhalants (glue, paint, aerosols, solvents, etc.)					
Cocaine					
Methamphetamine					
Hallucinogens (LSD, Shrooms, PCP, etc.)					

Section 6: Perception of Community Commitment

6.1 To what extent do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements?

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Preventing alcohol and other drug use among youth is important.				
I am concerned about whether my community has sufficient alcohol and other drug abuse prevention programs.				
Alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by educating youth themselves.				
Alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by educating parents.				
Alcohol and drug free youth can best be achieved by educating the community.				
There are leaders in my community who are interested in reducing access and abuse of alcohol and other drugs.				
I know who to go to if I need help for myself or family member(s) who are abusing alcohol or other drugs.				

	l Yes	ion plan jor dea	ling with issues surround	ing substance at	
	1 res 1 No				
	Don't Know				
6.3 From whi	ich one source do	you get most o	f your news about crime?	•	
	Television		☐ Neighbors		
] Newspapers		☐ Other (Please specify	<i>γ</i> :)
	Co-workers		☐ Do not know		
] Friends				
	d of information e use by our yout		ur community need regar	ding the preven	tion of alcohol and
Substance	. use by our your				
6 E What wo	uld be the best w	vav to dolivor th	is information?		
6.5 What wo	uld be the best w	vay to deliver th	is information?		
6.5 What wo	uld be the best w	vay to deliver th	is information?		
6.5 What wo	uld be the best w	vay to deliver th	is information?		
6.5 What wo	uld be the best w	vay to deliver th	is information?		
6.5 What wo	uld be the best и	vay to deliver th	is information?		
6.5 What wo	uld be the best и	vay to deliver th	is information?		
6.5 What wo	uld be the best w	vay to deliver th	is information?		
				ssociated with s	uhstance use and
			is information? is addressing problems a	ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and
6.6 Please lis				ssociated with s	ubstance use and